

Helsinki, 23 November 2021

#### Addressees

Registrants of RECONSILE EC# 240-212-2 listed in the last Appendix of this decision

Date of submission of the dossier subject of a decision 26/09/2018

Registered substance subject to this decision, hereafter 'the Substance'

Substance name: 4,4,7,7-tetraethoxy-3,8-dioxa-4,7-disiladecane

EC number: 240-212-2 CAS number: 16068-37-4

**Decision number:** Please refer to the REACH-IT message which delivered this

communication (in format TPE-D-XXXXXXXXXXXXXX/F)

## **DECISION ON TESTING PROPOSAL(S)**

Under Article 41 of Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 (REACH), you must submit the information listed below, by the deadline of **28 February 2024**.

The requested information must be generated using the Substance unless otherwise specified.

# A. Information required from the Registrants subject to Annex VIII of REACH

1. Same In vivo mammalian alkaline comet assay requested below in B.1.

#### B. Information required from the Registrants subject to Annex IX of REACH

- 1. In vivo mammalian alkaline comet assay (Annex IX, Section 8.4., column 2; test method: OECD TG 489) in rats, oral route, on the following tissues: liver, oral: glandular stomach and duodenum;
- 2. Sub-chronic toxicity study (90-day) (Annex IX, Section 8.6.2.; test method: EU B.26./OECD TG 408) by oral route, in rats;
- 3. Pre-natal developmental toxicity study (Annex IX, Section 8.7.2.; test method: EU B.31./OECD TG 414) by oral route, in one species (rat or rabbit);
- 4. Long-term toxicity testing on aquatic invertebrates (Annex IX, Section 9.1.5.; test method: EU C.20./OECD TG 211)
- 5. Long-term toxicity testing on fish (Annex IX, Section 9.1.6.; test method: EU C.47./OECD TG 210)

Reasons for the request(s) are explained in the following appendices entitled "Reasons to request information required under Annexes VIII and IX of REACH", respectively.

# Information required depends on your tonnage band

You must provide the information listed above for all REACH Annexes applicable to you, and in accordance with Articles 10(a) and 12(1) of REACH:

#### Confidential



- the information specified in Annexes VII and VIII to REACH, for registration at 10-100 tpa;
- the information specified in Annexes VII, VIII and IX to REACH, for registration at 100-1000 tpa.

You are only required to share the costs of information that you must submit to fulfil your information requirements.

For certain endpoints, ECHA requests the same study from registrants at different tonnages. In such cases, only the reasoning why the information is required at lower tonnages is provided in the corresponding Appendices. For the tonnage where the study is a standard information requirement, the full reasoning for the request including study design is given. Only one study is to be conducted; the registrants concerned must make every effort to reach an agreement as to who is to carry out the study on behalf of the other registrants under Article 53 of REACH.

## How to comply with your information requirements

To comply with your information requirements you must submit the information requested by this decision in an updated registration dossier by the deadline indicated above. You must also update the chemical safety report, where relevant, including any changes to classification and labelling, based on the newly generated information.

You must follow the general testing and reporting requirements provided under the Appendix entitled "Requirements to fulfil when conducting and reporting new tests for REACH purposes". For references used in this decision, please consult the Appendix entitled "List of references".

## **Appeal**

decision can be appealed to the Board of Appeal of ECHA within three months of its notification. An appeal, together with the grounds thereof, has to be submitted to ECHA in writing. An appeal has suspensive effect and is subject to a fee. Further details are described under: <a href="http://echa.europa.eu/requlations/appeals">http://echa.europa.eu/requlations/appeals</a>.

Approved¹ under the authority of Christel Schilliger-Musset, Director of Hazard Assessment

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  As this is an electronic document, it is not physically signed. This communication has been approved according to ECHA's internal decision-approval process.



# Appendix A: Reasons to request information required under Annex VIII of REACH

This decision is based on the examination of the testing proposals you submitted.

## 1. In vivo mammalian alkaline comet assay

Under Annex VIII Section 8.4., column 2 of REACH, the performance of an appropriate *in vivo* somatic cell genotoxicity study must be considered if there is a positive result in any of the *in vitro* genotoxicity studies in Annex VII or VIII.

Your dossier contains positive results for the *in vitro* gene mutation study in mammalian cells ( , 1997) which raise a concern for gene mutations.

ECHA considers that an *in vivo* study is necessary to address the identified concern.

For the assessment of the testing proposal, see Appendix B.1.



# Appendix B: Reasons to request information required under Annex IX of REACH

This decision is based on the examination of the testing proposals you submitted.

# 1. In vivo mammalian alkaline comet assay

Under Annex IX Section 8.4., column 2 of REACH, the information requirement for an appropriate *in vivo* somatic cell genotoxicity study is triggered if 1) there is a positive result in any of the *in vitro* genotoxicity studies in Annex VII or VIII and 2) there are no appropriate results already available from an *in vivo* somatic cell genotoxicity study.

Your dossier contains positive results for the *in vitro* gene mutation study in mammalian cells ( , 1997) which raise a concern for gene mutations. Your dossier contains also an *in vivo* study ( , 1998), however this study does not address the concern on gene mutation.

## 1.1. Information provided to fulfil the information requirement

You submitted a testing proposal for an *In vivo* mammalian alkaline comet assay to be performed with the Substance.

ECHA requested your considerations for alternative methods to fulfil the information requirement for Genetic toxicity *in vivo*. You provided your considerations concluding that there were no alternative methods which could be used to adapt the information requirement(s) for which testing is proposed. ECHA has taken these considerations into account.

ECHA agrees that an appropriate *in vivo* follow up genotoxicity study is necessary to address the concern identified *in vitro*.

#### 1.2. Test selection

ECHA notes that the proposed test is appropriate to investigate effects on gene mutations *in vivo* (ECHA Guidance R.7a, Section R.7.7.6.3. and Figure R.7.7-1).

According to the ECHA Guidance, the *in vivo* mammalian alkaline comet assay ("comet assay", OECD TG 489) is suitable to follow up a positive *in vitro* result on gene mutation.

## 1.3. Specification of the study design

You did not specify the species to be used for testing. According to the test method OECD TG 489, the test must be performed in rats.

You did not specify the route for testing. Having considered the anticipated routes of human exposure and adequate exposure of the target tissue(s) performance of the test by the oral route is appropriate.

In line with the test method OECD TG 489, the test must be performed by analysing tissues from liver as primary site of xenobiotic metabolism, glandular stomach and duodenum as sites of contact. There are several expected or possible variables between the glandular stomach and the duodenum (different tissue structure and function, different pH conditions, variable physico-chemical properties and fate of the Substance, and probable different local absorption rates of the Substance and its possible breakdown product(s)). In light of these expected or possible variables, it is necessary to analyse both tissues to ensure a sufficient evaluation of the potential for genotoxicity at the site of contact in the gastro-intestinal tract.



#### Germ cells

A subsequent germ cell genotoxicity study (TGR/OECD TG 488, or CA on spermatogonia/OECD TG 483) may still be required under Annex IX of REACH, in case 1) an *in vivo* genotoxicity test on somatic cell is positive, and 2) no clear conclusion can be made on germ cell mutagenicity.

Therefore, you may consider to collect the male gonadal cells from the seminiferous tubules, in addition to the other aforementioned tissues in the comet assay, as it would optimise the use of animals. You can prepare the slides for male gonadal cells and store them for up to 2 months, at room temperature, in dry conditions and protected from light. Following the generation and analysis of data on somatic cells in the comet assay, in accordance to Annex IX, Section 8.4., column 2, you should consider analysing the slides prepared with gonadal cells. This type of evidence may be relevant for the overall assessment of possible germ cell mutagenicity including classification and labelling according to the CLP Regulation.

#### 1.4. Outcome

Your testing proposal is accepted under Article 40(3)(a) and you are requested to conduct the test with the Substance, as specified above.

## 2. Sub-chronic toxicity study (90-days)

A sub-chronic toxicity study (90 day) is an information requirement under Annex IX to REACH (Section 8.6.2.).

## 2.1 Information provided to fulfil the information requirement

You have submitted a testing proposal for a Sub-chronic toxicity study (90 day) according to OECD TG 408 with the Substance.

ECHA requested your considerations for alternative methods to fulfil the information requirement for Repeated dose toxicity. You provided your considerations concluding that there were no alternative methods which could be used to adapt the information requirement(s) for which testing is proposed. ECHA has taken these considerations into account.

ECHA agrees that a 90-day study is necessary.

## 2.2 Specification of the study design

You proposed testing in the rat. ECHA agrees with your proposal because the rat is the preferred species according to the OECD TG 408. Therefore, the study must be conducted in the rat.

You proposed testing by the oral route. ECHA agrees with your proposal because this route of administration is appropriate to investigate systemic toxicity (ECHA Guidance R.7a, Section R.7.5.4.3.2).

## 2.3 Outcome

Your testing proposal is accepted under Article 40(3)(a) and you are requested to conduct the test with the Substance, as specified above.



## 3. Pre-natal developmental toxicity study

A pre-natal developmental toxicity (PNDT) study (OECD 414) in one species is an information requirement under Annex IX to REACH (Section 8.7.2.).

## 3.1 Information provided to fulfil the information requirement

You have submitted a testing proposal for a PNDT study according to OECD TG 414 by the oral route with the Substance.

ECHA requested your considerations for alternative methods to fulfil the information requirement for Developmental toxicity. You provided your considerations concluding that there were no alternative methods which could be used to adapt the information requirement(s) for which testing is proposed. ECHA has taken these considerations into account.

ECHA agrees that a PNDT study in a first species is necessary.

## 3.2 Specification of the study design

You proposed testing in the rat as a first species. You may select between the rat or the rabbit because both are preferred species under the OECD TG 414 (ECHA Guidance R.7a, Section R.7.6.2.3.2.).

You proposed testing by the oral route. ECHA agrees with your proposal because this route of administration is the most appropriate to investigate reproductive toxicity (ECHA Guidance R.7a, Section R.7.6.2.3.2.).

#### 3.3 Outcome

Your testing proposal is accepted under Article 40(3)(a) and you are requested to conduct the test with the Substance, as specified above.

# 4. Long-term toxicity testing on aquatic invertebrates

Long-term toxicity testing on aquatic invertebrates is an information requirement under Annex IX to REACH (Section 9.1.5.).

## 4.1. Information provided to fulfil the information requirement

You have submitted a testing proposal for a *Daphnia magna* reproduction test (test method: EU C.20/OECD TG 211).

Your registration dossier does not include any information on long-term toxicity on aquatic invertebrates.

ECHA agrees that an appropriate study on long-term toxicity on aquatic invertebrates is needed.

## 4.2. Test selection and study specifications

The proposed *Daphnia magna* reproduction test (test method: EU C.20/OECD TG 211) is appropriate to cover the information requirement for long-term toxicity on aquatic invertebrates (ECHA Guidance R.7.8.4.1.).



The Substance is difficult to test due to being hydrolytically unstable (estimated hydrolysis half-life of the Substance at pH 7: 36 hours, at pH 5: 0.7 hours, at pH 9: 0.5 hours). OECD TG 211 specifies that, for difficult to test substances, you must consider the approach described in OECD GD 23 or other approaches, if more appropriate for your substance. In all cases, the approach selected must be justified and documented. Due to the properties of Substance, it may be difficult to achieve and maintain the desired exposure concentrations. Therefore, you must monitor the test concentration(s) of the Substance throughout the exposure duration and report the results. If it is not possible to demonstrate the stability of exposure concentrations (i.e. measured concentration(s) not within 80-120% of the nominal concentration(s)), you must express the effect concentration based on measured values as described in OECD TG 211. In case a dose-response relationship cannot be established (no observed effects), you must demonstrate that the approach used to prepare test solutions was adequate to maximise the concentration of the Substance in the test solutions.

#### 4.3. Outcome

Your testing proposal is accepted under Article 40(3)(a) and you are requested to conduct the test with the Substance, as specified above.

#### 5. Long-term toxicity testing on fish

Long-term toxicity testing on fish is an information requirement under Annex IX to REACH (Section 9.1.6.).

#### 5.1. Information provided to fulfil the information requirement

You have submitted a testing proposal for a Fish, Early-Life Stage Toxicity Test (test method: OECD TG 210).

Your registration dossier does not include any information on long-term toxicity on fish.

ECHA requested your considerations for alternative methods to fulfil the information requirement for long-term toxicity on fish. You provided your considerations concluding that there were no alternative methods which could be used to adapt the information requirement(s) for which testing is proposed. ECHA has taken these considerations into account.

ECHA agrees that an appropriate study on long-term toxicity on fish is needed.

## 5.2. Test selection and study specifications

The proposed Fish, Early-Life Stage Toxicity Test (test method: OECD TG 210) is appropriate to cover the information requirement for long-term toxicity on fish (ECHA Guidance R.7.8.4.1.).

OECD TG 210 specifies that for difficult to test substances OECD GD 23 must be followed. As already explained under Appendix B.4., the Substance is difficult to test. Therefore, you must fulfil the requirements described in 'Test selection and study specifications' under Appendix B.4.

#### 5.3. Outcome

Your testing proposal is accepted under Article 40(3)(a) and you are requested to conduct the test with the Substance, as specified above.



# Appendix C: Requirements to fulfil when conducting and reporting new tests for REACH purposes

### A. Test methods, GLP requirements and reporting

- Under Article 13(3) of REACH, all new data generated as a result of this decision must be conducted according to the test methods laid down in a European Commission Regulation or to international test methods recognised by the Commission or ECHA as being appropriate.
- 2. Under Article 13(4) of REACH, ecotoxicological and toxicological tests and analyses must be carried out according to the GLP principles (Directive 2004/10/EC) or other international standards recognised by the Commission or ECHA.
- 3. Under Article 10(a)(vi) and (vii) of REACH, all new data generated as a result of this decision must be reported as study summaries, or as robust study summaries, if required under Annex I of REACH. See ECHA Practical Guide on How to report robust study summaries<sup>2</sup>.

#### **B.** Test material

Before generating new data, you must agree within the joint submission on the chemical composition of the material to be tested (Test material) which must be relevant for all the registrants of the Substance.

Selection of the Test material(s)

The Test material used to generate the new data must be selected taking into account the following:

- the variation in compositions reported by all members of the joint submission,
- the boundary composition(s) of the Substance,
- the impact of each constituent/ impurity on the test results for the endpoint to be assessed. For example, if a constituent/ impurity of the Substance is known to have an impact on (eco)toxicity, the selected Test material must contain that constituent/ impurity.
- 2. Information on the Test material needed in the updated dossier
  - You must report the composition of the Test material selected for each study, under the "Test material information" section, for each respective endpoint study record in IUCLID.
  - The reported composition must include all constituents of each Test material and their concentration values and other parameters relevant for the property to be tested.

This information is needed to assess whether the Test material is relevant for the Substance and whether it is suitable for use by all members of the joint submission.

Technical instructions on how to report the above is available in the manual on How to prepare registration and PPORD dossiers<sup>3</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> https://echa.europa.eu/practical-guides

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> https://echa.europa.eu/manuals



# **Appendix D: Procedure**

ECHA started the testing proposal evaluation in accordance with Article 40(1) on 27 November 2020.

ECHA held a third party consultation for the testing proposal(s) from 17 June 2020 until 3 August 2020. ECHA did not receive information from third parties.

ECHA followed the procedure detailed in Articles 50 and 51 of REACH.

ECHA notified you of the draft decision and invited you to provide comments.

ECHA did not receive any comments within the commenting period.

ECHA notified the draft decision to the competent authorities of the Member States for proposals for amendment.

As no amendments were proposed, ECHA adopted the decision under Article 51(3) of REACH.



## Appendix E: List of references - ECHA Guidance<sup>4</sup> and other supporting documents

#### Evaluation of available information

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.4 (version 1.1., December 2011), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.4 where relevant.

#### Toxicology

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.7a (version 6.0, July 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.7a in this decision.

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.7c (version 3.0, June 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.7c in this decision.

#### Environmental toxicology and fate

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.7a (version 6.0, July 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.7a in this decision.

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.7b (version 4.0, June 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.7b in this decision.

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.7c (version 3.0, June 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.7c in this decision.

#### Data sharing

Guidance on data-sharing (version 3.1, January 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance on data sharing in this decision.

# OECD Guidance documents<sup>5</sup>

Guidance Document on aqueous–phase aquatic toxicity testing of difficult test chemicals – No 23, referred to as OECD GD 23.

Guidance Document on Standardised Test Guidelines for Evaluating Chemicals for Endocrine Disruption – No 150, referred to as OECD GD 150.

Guidance Document supporting OECD test guideline 443 on the extended one-generation reproductive toxicity test – No 151, referred to as OECD GD 151.

<sup>4</sup> https://echa.europa.eu/guidance-documents/guidance-on-information-requirements-and-chemical-safetyassessment

http://www.oecd.org/chemicalsafety/testing/series-testing-assessment-publications-number.htm



# Appendix F: Addressees of this decision and the corresponding information requirements applicable to them

You must provide the information requested in this decision for all REACH Annexes applicable to you.

Registrant Name	Registration number	Highest REACH Annex applicable to you

Where applicable, the name of a third party representative (TPR) may be displayed in the list of recipients whereas ECHA will send the decision to the actual registrant.