

Helsinki, 26 June 2020

Addressees

Registrants of RS-MEA-EO listed in the last Appendix of this decision

Date of submission for the jointly submitted dossier subject of this decision 04/12/2018

Registered substance subject to this decision, hereafter 'the Substance'

Substance name: Oligomerisation products of ethylene oxide with reaction products of rape

oil and ethanolamine EC number: 932-164-2

CAS number: NS

DECISION ON A COMPLIANCE CHECK

Under Article 41 of Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 (REACH), you must submit the information listed below, by the deadline of *3 January 2023*.

Requested information must be generated using the Substance unless otherwise specified.

A. Information required from all the Registrants subject to Annex IX of REACH

1. Pre-natal developmental toxicity study (Annex IX, Section 8.7.2.; test method OECD TG 414) in a first species (rat or rabbit), oral route;

B. Information required from all the Registrants subject to Annex X of REACH

- 1. Pre-natal developmental toxicity study (Annex X, Section 8.7.2.; test method OECD TG 414) in a second species (rat or rabbit), oral route.
- 2. Extended one-generation reproductive toxicity study (Annex X, Section 8.7.3.; test method: OECD TG 443) in rats, oral route, and specified as follows:
 - Ten weeks premating exposure duration for the parental (P0) generation;
 - Dose level setting shall aim to induce systemic toxicity at the highest dose level;
 - Cohort 1A (Reproductive toxicity);
 - Cohort 1B (Reproductive toxicity) without extension to mate the Cohort 1B animals to produce the F2 generation.

You must report the study performed according to the above specifications. Any expansion of the study must be scientifically justified.



Reasons for the request(s) are explained in the following Appendices:

Appendices entitled "Reasons to request information required under Annexes IX to X of REACH", respectively.

Information required depends on your tonnage band

You must provide the information listed above for all REACH Annexes applicable to you, and in accordance with Articles 10(a) and 12(1) of REACH:

- the information specified in Annexes VII, VIII and IX to REACH, for registration at 100-1000 tpa;
- the information specified in Annexes VII to X to REACH, for registration at more than 1000 tpa.

You are only required to share the costs of information that you must submit to fulfil your information requirements.

How to comply with your information requirements

To comply with your information requirements you must submit the information requested by this decision in an updated registration dossier by the deadline indicated above. You must also update the chemical safety report, where relevant, including any changes to classification and labelling, based on the newly generated information.

You must follow the general testing and reporting requirements provided under the Appendix entitled "Requirements to fulfil when conducting and reporting new tests for REACH purposes". For references used in this decision, please consult the Appendix entitled "List of references".

Appeal

This decision, when adopted under Article 51 of REACH, may be appealed to the Board of Appeal of ECHA within three months of its notification to you. Please refer to http://echa.europa.eu/regulations/appeals for further information.

Failure to comply

If you do not comply with the information required by this decision by the deadline indicated above, ECHA will notify the enforcement authorities of your Member State.

Approved¹ under the authority of Christel Schilliger-Musset, Director of Hazard Assessment

.

¹ As this is an electronic document, it is not physically signed. This communication has been approved according to ECHA's internal decision-approval process.



Appendix A: Reasons to request information required under Annex IX of REACH

1. Pre-natal developmental toxicity study (Annex IX, Section 8.7.2.) in a first species

A Pre-natal developmental toxicity (PNDT) study (OECD TG 414) in one species is a standard information requirement under Annex IX to REACH.

While an adaptation was not specifically indicated by you, ECHA has evaluated the provided information according to Annex XI, Section 1.2 of REACH (weight of evidence).

In support of your adaptation, you have provided the following source of information:

- (i) a Reproductive/Developmental Screening study in the rat OECD TG 421 (2009) with the Susbtance:
- (ii) a 90-day Repeated Dose Oral Toxicity study in the rat OECD TG 408 (2014) with the Susbtance;
- (iii) an assessment of the toxicokinetic behaviour of the Substance (2010)

You argue that the available data give sufficient information to conclude on prenatal developmental toxicity because:

- a) The OECD TG 421 study did not result in any treatment-related developmental effects up to the dose of 500 mg/kg bw/day.
- b) The OECD TG 408 did not result in any treatment-related effects on the reproductive organs or tissues up to the dose of 200 mg/kg bw/day.
- c) The toxicokinetic assessment did not suggest absorption of the substance based on the absence of significant effects after exposure through the dermal route.

Annex XI, Section 1.2 states that there may be sufficient weight of evidence from several independent sources of information leading to assumption/conclusion that a substance has or has not a particular dangerous (hazardous) property, while information from a single source alone is insufficient to support this notion.

According to ECHA Guidance R.4.4, a weight of evidence adaptation involves an assessment of the relative values/weights of the different sources of information submitted. The weight given is based on the reliability of the data, consistency of results/data, nature and severity of effects, and relevance of the information for the given regulatory information requirement. Subsequently, relevance, reliability, consistency and results of these sources of information must be balanced in order to decide whether they together provide sufficient weight to conclude that the Substance has or has not the (dangerous) property investigated by the required study.

Annex XI, section 1.2 requires that adequate and reliable documentation is provided to describe your weight of evidence approach.

You have not included a justification for your weight of evidence adaptation, which would include an adequate and reliable (concise) documentation as to why the sources of information provide sufficient weight to conclude that the Substance has or has not the dangerous property investigated by the required study.

In spite of this critical deficiency, we have nevertheless assessed the validity of your adaptation.



2. In order to allow concluding on no developmental toxicity for the Substance in a weight of evidence adaptation, the justification must cover the key elements (parameters) foreseen to be investigated in an OECD TG 414 study, which include the following: visceral and skeletal malformations and variations in foetus.

None of the source of information investigate the key parameters as required in OECD TG 414.

Therefore, these elements cannot be used as part of a weight of evidence adaptation according to Annex XI, Section 1.2.

Based on the assessment above, and taking into account your justification for the weight of evidence adaptation, none of the pieces of information you provided, considered alone or together, allows to conclude on whether your Substance has or has not hazardous properties related to (prenatal) developmental. Therefore, your adaptation according to Annex XI, Section 1.2. is rejected and the information requirement is not fulfilled.

A PNDT study according to the test method OECD TG 414 must be performed in rat or rabbit as preferred species with oral² administration of the Substance.

² ECHA Guidance R.7a, Section R.7.6.2.3.2.



Appendix B: Reasons to request information required under Annex X of REACH

1. Pre-natal developmental toxicity study (Annex X, Section 8.7.2.) in a second species

Pre-natal developmental toxicity (PNDT) studies (OECD TG 414) in two species is a standard information requirement under Annex X to REACH.

While an adaptation was not specifically indicated by you, ECHA has evaluated the provided information according to Annex XI, Section 1.2 (weight of evidence).

Your adaptation is rejected for the same reasons developed under Appendix A.1 (Pre-natal developmental toxicity study in a first species).

Therefore, the information requirement is not fulfilled.

Information on study design

A PNDT study according to the OECD TG 414 study should be performed in rabbit or rat as the preferred second species, depending on the species tested in the first PNDT study (request A.1 in this decision).

The study shall be performed with oral³ administration of the Substance.

2. Extended one-generation reproductive toxicity study (Annex X, Section 8.7.3.)

The basic test design of an Extended one-generation reproductive toxicity (EOGRT) study (OECD TG 443) is a standard information requirement under Annex X to REACH. Furthermore Column 2 of Section 8.7.3. defines when the study design needs to be expanded.

You have provided a Reproductive/Developmental Screening study (OECD TG 421) and an adaptation for this information requirement according to Column 2 of Annex X, Section 8.7 and 8.7.3.

We have assessed this information and identified the following issue(s):

A. Evaluation of the provided study

To be considered compliant and enable concluding if the Substance is a reproductive toxicant, the study has to meet the requirements of OECD TG 443 as specified in REACH.

The OECD TG 421 study you provided does not cover all relevant life stages required in OECD TG 443, as the extensive postnatal investigations of the fully exposed F1 generation up to the adulthood are not included. Furthermore, the statistical power of the information provided is not sufficient because it does not fulfil the criterion of 20 pregnant females for each test group as required OECD TG 443.

Therefore, the information provided is rejected.

B. Adaptation according to Annex X, Section 8.7, column 2 (low toxicity)

³ ECHA Guidance R.7a, Section R.7.6.2.3.2.



According to Annex X, Section 8.7., Column 2, third indent, the study does not need to be conducted if the substance is of low toxicological activity. This needs to be demonstrated with three concomitant criteria, namely:

- that there is no evidence of toxicity seen in any of the tests available; and
- that it can be proven from toxicokinetic data that no systemic absorption occurs via relevant routes of exposure; and
- that there is no or no significant human exposure.

In support of your adaptation you have submitted the following studies:

- (i) a Reproductive/Developmental Screening study in the rat OECD TG 421 (2009) with the Susbtance;
- (ii) a 90-day Repeated Dose Oral Toxicity study in the rat OECD TG 408 (2014) with the Substance;
- (iii) an assessment of the toxicokinetic behaviour of the Substance based on the physico-chemical properties of the Substance and some *in vivo* toxicological studies (2010).

You justified the adaptation by stating that:

- a) the Substance is of low toxicological activity based on the results of the OECD TG 421 and OECD TG 408 studies; and
- b) there is no systemic toxicity/absorption of the Substance based on (iii).

According to your CSR, the Substance is used in cleaning and washing products for professional and consumer uses.

However, you have not substantiated your claim on no toxicity:

- You have not provided any toxicokinetic data to show that there is no systemic absorption.
- The uses provided in your CSR indicate significant human exposure.

Therefore, your adaptation according to Annex X, Section 8.7., Column 2 is rejected and the information requirement is not fulfilled.

C. Adaptation according to Annex X, Section 8.7.3, column 2

There is no waiver of this standard information requirement under Section 8.7.3, column 2, Annex X to REACH and your adaptation is therefore rejected and the information requirement is not fulfilled.

The specifications for the study design

Premating exposure duration and dose-level setting

The length of premating exposure period must be ten weeks to cover the full spermatogenesis and folliculogenesis before the mating, allowing meaningful assessment of the effects on fertility.

Ten weeks premating exposure duration is required to obtain results adequate for classification and labelling and/or risk assessment. There is no substance specific information in the dossier supporting shorter premating exposure duration⁴.

-

⁴ ECHA Guidance R.7a, Section R.7.6.



Therefore, the requested premating exposure duration is at least ten weeks.

In order to be compliant and not to be rejected due to too low dose levels, the highest dose level shall aim to induce systemic toxicity, but not death or severe suffering of the animals, to allow comparison of reproductive toxicity and systemic toxicity. The dose level selection should be based upon the fertility effects. A descending sequence of dose levels should be selected in order to demonstrate any dose-related effect and to establish NOAELs.

If there is no relevant data to be used for dose level setting, it is recommended that rangefinding results are reported with the main study.

You have to provide a justification with your study results that demonstrates that the dose level selection meets the conditions described above.

Cohorts 1A and 1B

Cohorts 1A and 1B belong to the basic study design and must be included.

Species and route selection

The study must be performed in rats with oral⁵ administration.

Further expansion of the study design

The conditions to include the extension of Cohort 1B are currently not met. Furthermore, no triggers for the inclusion of Cohorts 2A and 2B (developmental neurotoxicity) and/or Cohort 3 (developmental immunotoxicity) were identified. However, you may expand the study by including the extension of Cohort 1B, Cohorts 2A and 2B and/or Cohort 3 if relevant information becomes available from other studies or during the conduct of this study. Inclusion is justified if the available information meets the criteria and conditions which are described in Column 2, Section 8.7.3., Annex X. You may also expand the study due to other scientific reasons in order to avoid a conduct of a new study. The study design, including any added expansions, must be fully justified and documented. Further detailed guidance on study design and triggers is provided in ECHA Guidance⁶.

⁵ ECHA Guidance R.7a, Section R.7.6.2.3.2

⁶ ECHA Guidance R.7a, Section R.7.6.



Appendix C: Requirements to fulfil when conducting and reporting new tests for REACH purposes

A. Test methods, GLP requirements and reporting

- Under Article 13(3) of REACH, all new data generated as a result of this decision must be conducted according to the test methods laid down in a European Commission Regulation or to international test methods recognised by the Commission or ECHA as being appropriate.
- 2. Under Article 13(4) of REACH, ecotoxicological and toxicological tests and analyses must be carried out according to the GLP principles (Directive 2004/10/EC) or other international standards recognised by the Commission or ECHA.
- 3. Under Article 10(a)(vi) and (vii) of REACH, all new data generated as a result of this decision must be reported as study summaries, or as robust study summaries, if required under Annex I of REACH. See ECHA Practical Guide on How to report robust study summaries⁷.

B. Test material

Before generating new data, you must agree within the joint submission on the chemical composition of the material to be tested (Test Material) which must be relevant for all the registrants of the Substance.

- 1. Selection of the Test material(s)
 - The Test Material used to generate the new data must be selected taking into account the following:
 - the variation in compositions reported by all members of the joint submission,
 - the boundary composition(s) of the Substance,
 - the impact of each constituent/ impurity on the test results for the endpoint to be assessed. For example, if a constituent/ impurity of the Substance is known to have an impact on (eco)toxicity, the selected Test Material must contain that constituent/ impurity.
- 2. Information on the Test Material needed in the updated dossier
 - You must report the composition of the Test Material selected for each study, under the "Test material information" section, for each respective endpoint study record in IUCLID.
 - The reported composition must include all constituents of each Test Material and their concentration values and other parameters relevant for the property to be tested.

This information is needed to assess whether the Test Material is relevant for the Substance and whether it is suitable for use by all members of the joint submission.

Technical instructions on how to report the above is available in the manual on How to prepare registration and PPORD dossiers⁸.

https://echa.europa.eu/practical-guides

⁸ https://echa.europa.eu/manuals



Appendix D: Procedure

This decision does not prevent ECHA from initiating further compliance checks at a later stage on the registrations present.

ECHA followed the procedure detailed in Articles 50 and 51 of REACH.

The compliance check was initiated on 10 April 2019.

ECHA notified you of the draft decision and invited you to provide comments.

ECHA did not receive any comments within the 30-day notification period.

ECHA notified the draft decision to the competent authorities of the Member States for proposals for amendment.

As no amendments were proposed, ECHA took the decision according to Article 51(3) of the REACH Regulation.



Appendix E: List of references - ECHA Guidance and other supporting documents

Evaluation of available information

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.4 (version 1.1., December 2011), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.4 where relevant.

QSARs, read-across and grouping

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.6 (version 1.0, May 2008), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.6 where relevant.

Read-across assessment framework (RAAF, March 2017)¹⁰

RAAF - considerations on multiconstituent substances and UVCBs (RAAF UVCB, March 2017)¹⁰

Physical-chemical properties

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.7a (version 6.0, July 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.7a in this decision.

Toxicology

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.7a (version 6.0, July 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.7a in this decision.

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.7c (version 3.0, June 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.7c in this decision.

Environmental toxicology and fate

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.7a (version 6.0, July 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.7a in this decision.

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.7b (version 4.0, June 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.7b in this decision.

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.7c (version 3.0, June 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.7c in this decision.

PBT assessment

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.11 (version 3.0, June 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.11 in this decision.

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.16 (version 3.0, February 2016), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.16 in this decision.

Data sharing

Guidance on data-sharing (version 3.1, January 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance on data sharing in this decision.

OECD Guidance documents11

Guidance Document on aqueous-phase aquatic toxicity testing of difficult test chemicals – No 23, referred to as OECD GD 23.

11 http://www.oecd.org/chemicalsafety/testing/series-testing-assessment-publications-number.htm

https://echa.europa.eu/guidance-documents/guidance-on-information-requirements-and-chemicalsafety-assessment

https://echa.europa.eu/support/registration/how-to-avoid-unnecessary-testing-on-animals/grouping-of-substances-and-read-across



Guidance document on transformation/dissolution of metals and metal compounds in aqueous media – No 29, referred to as OECD GD 29.

Guidance Document on Standardised Test Guidelines for Evaluating Chemicals for Endocrine Disruption – No 150, referred to as OECD GD 150.

Guidance Document supporting OECD test guideline 443 on the extended one-generation reproductive toxicity test – No 151, referred to as OECD GD 151.



Appendix F: List of the registrants to which the decision is addressed and the corresponding information requirements applicable to them

Registrant Name	Registration number	(Highest) Data requirements to be fufilled

Note: where applicable, the name of a third party representative (TPR) may be displayed in the list of recipients whereas the decision is sent to the actual registrant.