Justification Document for the Selection of a CoRAP Substance

Substance Name (public name): 1,1,1,3,5,5,5-heptamethyltrisiloxane

EC Number: 217-496-1

CAS Number: 1873-88-7

Authority: UK MSCA

Date: 22/03/2016

Note

This document has been prepared by the evaluating Member State given in the CoRAP update

Table of Contents

| 1 | IDENTITY OF TH | HE SUBSTANCE | 3 |
|---|--|---|-------------|
| | 1.1 Other ide | ntifiers of the substance | 3 |
| 2 | OVERVIEW OF | OTHER PROCESSES / EU LEGISLATION | 5 |
| 3 | 3.1 Classifica | | 6 |
| | | nonised Classification in Annex VI of the CLP | 6 |
| | 3.1.2 Self | classification | 6 |
| | 3.1.3 Prop CLP 6 | osal for Harmonised Classification in Annex VI of the | e |
| 4 | | ON (AGGREGATED) TONNAGE AND USESand registration status of uses | 7 7 7 |
| 5. JUSTIFICATION FOR THE SELECTION OF THE CANDIDATE CORAP SUB 5.1.Legal basis for the proposal5.2. Selection criteria met (why the substance qualifies for in CoRAP) | | | |
| | 5.3 Initial grounds for concern to be clarified under Substance Evaluation | | |
| | 5.4 Prelimina | ry indication of information that may need to be | 8 9 |
| | • | follow-up and link to risk management | 9 |
| | | | |

1 IDENTITY OF THE SUBSTANCE

1.1 Other identifiers of the substance

Table: Other Substance identifiers

| EC name (public): | 1,1,1,3,5,5,5-heptamethyltrisiloxane |
|---|--------------------------------------|
| IUPAC name (public): | 1,1,1,3,5,5,5-heptamethyltrisiloxane |
| Index number in Annex VI of the CLP Regulation: | Not applicable |
| Molecular formula: | $C_7H_{22}O_2Si_3$ |
| Molecular weight or molecular weight range: | 222.51 |
| Synonyms: | BLUESIL HEPTAMETHYLTRISILOXANE |

| Type of substance | | ☐ Multi-constituent | ☐ UVCB |
|-------------------|--|---------------------|--------|
|-------------------|--|---------------------|--------|

Structural formula:



1.2 Similar substances/grouping possibilities

The structurally related chemicals hexamethyldisiloxane, octamethyltrisiloxane, decamethyltetrasiloxane and dodecamethyltetrasiloxane could be included to form a category for evaluation. The registrant has also proposed to use data generated on 1,1,3,3-tetramethyldisiloxane

| Name | CAS No | EC No | Comments |
|-------------------------------|-----------|-----------|--------------------|
| Hexamethyldisiloxane (L2) | 107-46-0 | 203-492-7 | Registered, SEV by |
| | | | UKCA in 2013 |
| Octamethyltrisiloxane | 107-51-7 | 203-497-4 | Registered, SEV by |
| (L3) | | | UKCA in 2015 |
| Decamethyltetrasiloxane (L4) | 141-62-8 | 205-491-7 | Registered, SEV by |
| | | | UKCA in 2015 |
| Dodecamethyltetrasiloxane | 141-63-9 | 205-492-2 | Registered, SEV by |
| (L5) | | | UKCA in 2015 |
| 1,1,3,3-tetramethyldisiloxane | 3277-26-7 | 221-906-4 | Registered – read |
| , | | | across proposed |

Structural formula:

| Hexamethyldisiloxane (L2) | Si |
|--------------------------------|----------|
| Octamethyltrisiloxane (L3) | |
| | Si Si Si |
| Decamethyltetrasiloxane (L4) | |
| Dodecamethyltetrasiloxane (L5) | |
| 1,1,3,3-tetramethyldisiloxane | SiH |

2 OVERVIEW OF OTHER PROCESSES / EU LEGISLATION

Table: Completed or ongoing processes

| RMOA | | \square Risk Management Option Analysis (RMOA) | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| | Evaluation | ☐ Compliance check, Final decision | | |
| | | ⊠ Testing proposal, ongoing | | |
| ssses | Ш | ☐ CoRAP and Substance Evaluation | | |
| REACH Processes | Authorisation | ☐ Candidate List | | |
| REAC | Author | ☐ Annex XIV | | |
| | Restri -ction | ☐ Annex XVII | | |
| Harmonised C&L | | ☐ Annex VI (CLP) (see section 3.1) | | |
| isses ther EU ation | | ☐ Plant Protection Products Regulation Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 | | |
| Processes under other EU legislation | ☐ Biocidal Product Regulation Regulation (EU) 528/2012 and amendments | | | |
| vus tion | | ☐ Dangerous substances Directive Directive 67/548/EEC (NONS) | | |
| Previous legislation | ☐ Existing Substances Regulation Regulation 793/93/EEC (RAR/RRS) | | | |
| (UNEP) Stockholm onvention (POPs Protocol) | ☐ Assessment | | | |
| (UNEP) Stockholm convention (POPs Protocol) | ☐ In relevant Annex | | | |

| Other processes / EU legislation | \square Other (provide further details below) |
|---|---|

D4 and D5 have been agreed to meet the PBT/vPvB criteria, which may affect the supply of decamethyltetrasiloxane if this is used as a substitute in the future.

L2, L3, L4 and L5 are already undergoing substance evaluation.

3 HAZARD INFORMATION (INCLUDING CLASSIFICATION)

3.1 Classification

3.1.1 Harmonised Classification in Annex VI of the CLP

There is no current harmonised classification for 1,1,1,3,5,5,5-heptamethyltrisiloxane.

3.1.2 Self classification

• In the registrations:

Flam. Liq. 3 H226

Flam. Liq. 3 H225

Skin Irrit. 2 H315

Eye Irrit. 2 H319

STOT SE 3 H335

• The following hazard classes are in addition notified among the aggregated selfclassifications in the C&L Inventory:

Flam. Liq. 2 H225

3.1.3 Proposal for Harmonised Classification in Annex VI of the CLP

Not applicable

4 INFORMATION ON (AGGREGATED) TONNAGE AND USES¹

4.1 Tonnage and registration status

Table: Tonnage and registration status

| From ECHA dissemination site | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|
| □ Full registration(s) (Art. 10) | | ☐ Intermediate registration | ☑ Intermediate registration(s) (Art. 17 and/or 18) | |
| Tonnage band (as per dissemina | ation s | ite) | | |
| □ 1 - 10 tpa | □ 1 | 0 – 100 tpa | ⊠ 100 - 1000 tpa | |
| □ 1000 – 10,000 tpa | □ 10,000 - 100,000 tpa | | □ 100,000 - 1,000,000 tpa | |
| □ 1,000,000 - 10,000,000 tpa | □ 10,000,000 - 100,000,000 tpa | | □ > 100,000,000 tpa | |
| □ <1 > + tpa (e.g. 10+; 100+; 10,000+ tpa) □ Confidential | | | | |
| Joint submission – full registration | | | | |
| Joint submission – intermediate use only | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 4.2 Overview of uses | | | | |
| The following uses are identified on the ECHA dissemination site: | | | | |
| Manufacture and on-site use as an intermediate, off-site use as a monomer/intermediate and laboratory reagent. These cover manufacture, industrial and professional use. | | | | |

 \boxtimes

use

Industrial

 \boxtimes

use

Professional

Consumer

use

 \square Closed

system

☐ Article

service life

Part 1:

Manufacture Formulation

EC no 217-496-1 MSCA - UK Page 7 of 9

 $^{^{1}\,\,}$ Based on ECHA dissemination site accessed 19th May 2015.

| 5. JUSTIFICATION FOR THE SELECTION OF THE CANDIDATE CORAP SUBSTANCE | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| 5.1. Legal basis for | or the proposal | | | | |
| ☐ Article 44(2) (r | \square Article 44(2) (refined prioritisation criteria for substance evaluation) | | | | |
| 5.2. Selection crite | eria met (why the substance | qualifies for being in CoRAP) | | | |
| ☐ Fulfils criteria as CMR | / Suspected CMR | | | | |
| ☐ Fulfils criteria as Sen | sitiser/ Suspected sensitiser | | | | |
| ☐ Fulfils criteria as pote | ential endocrine disrupter | | | | |
| □ Fulfils criteria as PBT, | /vPvB / Suspected PBT/vPvB | | | | |
| \square Fulfils criteria high (a | ggregated) tonnage (<i>tpa > 1000</i>) | | | | |
| ☐ Fulfils exposure criter | ☐ Fulfils exposure criteria | | | | |
| ☐ Fulfils MS's (national) | priorities | | | | |
| 5.3 Initial grounds for concern to be clarified under Substance Evaluation | | | | | |
| Hazard based concerns | 5 | | | | |
| CMR □ C □ M □ R | Suspected CMR ² □ C □ M □ R | \square Potential endocrine disruptor | | | |
| ☐ Sensitiser ☐ Suspected Sensitiser ² | | | | | |
| □ PBT/vPvB | ☐ Suspected PBT/vPvB ² | \square Other (please specify below) | | | |
| Exposure/risk based c | oncerns | | | | |
| \square Wide dispersive use | ☐ Consumer use | ☐ Exposure of sensitive populations | | | |
| ☐ Exposure of environment ☐ Exposure of workers ☐ Cumulative exposure | | | | | |
| \square High RCR \square High (aggregated) tonnage \square Other (please specify below) | | | | | |

Suspected PBT: Potentially Persistent, Bioaccumulative and Toxic

EC no 217-496-1 MSCA - UK Page 8 of 9

² <u>CMR/Sensitiser</u>: known carcinogenic and/or mutagenic and/or reprotoxic properties/known sensitising properties (according to CLP harmonized or registrant self-classification or CLP Inventory) <u>Suspected CMR/Suspected sensitiser</u>: suspected carcinogenic and/or mutagenic and/or reprotoxic properties/suspected sensitising properties (not classified according to CLP harmonized or registrant self-classification)

JUSTIFICATION DOCUMENT FOR THE SELECTION OF A CORAP SUBSTANCE

The substance screens as vPvB based on the results from a ready biodegradation study and predicted log Kow. The registrant's PBT assessment indicates the substance "may be persistent and very persistent in sediment". Characteristics of other siloxanes such as D4, D5 and HMDS (L2) suggest potential to be persistent in sediment. Therefore as well as clarifying P properties, sediment risks will also be investigated. Bioaccumulation data is read-across from octamethyltrisiloxane (L3), which has a high measured bioconcentration factor in fish (BCF = 7730 L/kg). Toxicity data to fulfill the chronic aquatic data for the T endpoints is also read-across from L3. This will need to be assessed as the lower homologue L2 (hexamethyldisiloxane) is known to be ecotoxic, whereas L3 does not exhibit aquatic toxicity. It is not known if 1,1,1,3,5,5,5-heptamethyltrisiloxane could be a potential replacement for D4 and D5, but the supply volume may increase if uses of those substances are restricted. In addition, the CSRs will be examined to see how uses of the substances made from it have been considered (exposure scenarios should be included if the substance is an impurity or degradation product in products such as polymers). The evaluation will be targeted to the environment but during the PBT assessment the human health endpoints relevant to the T criterion will be assessed. 5.4 Preliminary indication of information that may need to be requested to clarify the concern ☐ Information on toxicological properties ☐ Information on physico-chemical properties \boxtimes Information on exposure \square Information on uses ☑ Information on ecotoxicological properties ☐ Information ED potential ☐ Other (provide further details below) Testing to assess persistence in sediment, for example OECD 308 Aerobic and Anaerobic Transformation in Aquatic Sediment Systems. Further information on releases from relevant parts of the life cycle (may include a request for monitoring data). Further data to clarify any sediment risks.

☐ Authorisation

☐ Other (provide further

details)

5.5 Potential follow-up and link to risk management

☐ Restriction

To be determined following substance evaluation.

☐ Harmonised C&L