~~Confidential~~

Helsinki, 26 April 2022

Addressees
Registrant(s) of 2-butyl-2-ethylpropanediol as listed in the last Appendix of this decision

Date of submission of the dossier subject to this decision
11/08/2015

Registered substance subject to this decision ("the Substance")
Substance name: 2-butyl-2-ethylpropanediol
EC number: 204-111-7
CAS number: 115-84-4

Decision number: Please refer to the REACH-IT message which delivered this communication (in format CCH-D-XXXXXXXXXX-XX-XX/F)

DECISION ON A COMPLIANCE CHECK

Under Article 41 of Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 (REACH), you must submit the information listed below, by the deadline of ***3 May 2023 for requests A.1 and A2. and by 4 November 2024 for requests B.1 and B.2***.

Requested information must be generated using the Substance unless otherwise specified.

A. Information required from all the Registrants subject to Annex IX of REACH

1. Long-term toxicity testing on aquatic invertebrates (Annex IX, Section 9.1.5.; test method: EU C.20./OECD TG 211)

2. Long-term toxicity testing on fish (Annex IX, Section 9.1.6.; test method: OECD TG 210)

B. Information required from all the Registrants subject to Annex X of REACH

1. Pre-natal developmental toxicity study (Annex X, Section 8.7.2.; test method: OECD TG 414) by oral route, in a second species (rabbit)

2. Extended one-generation reproductive toxicity study (Annex X, Section 8.7.3.; test method: OECD TG 443) by oral route, in rats, specified as follows:

   - Ten weeks premating exposure duration for the parental (P0) generation;
   - Dose level setting shall aim to induce systemic toxicity at the highest dose level;
   - Cohort 1A (Reproductive toxicity);
   - Cohort 1B (Reproductive toxicity) without extension to mate the Cohort 1B animals to produce the F2 generation.

You must report the study performed according to the above specifications. Any expansion of the study must be scientifically justified.

Reasons for the request(s) are explained in the following appendices:

- Appendices entitled "Reasons to request information required under Annexes IX to X of REACH", respectively.

Information required depends on your tonnage band

You must provide the information listed above for all REACH Annexes applicable to you, and in accordance with Articles 10(a) and 12(1) of REACH:

- the information specified in Annexes VII to X to REACH, for registration at more than 1000 tpa.

You are only required to share the costs of information that you must submit to fulfil your information requirements.

How to comply with your information requirements

To comply with your information requirements you must submit the information requested by this decision in an updated registration dossier by the deadline indicated above. You must also update the chemical safety report, where relevant, including any changes to classification and labelling, based on the newly generated information.

You must follow the general testing and reporting requirements provided under the Appendix entitled "Requirements to fulfil when conducting and reporting new tests for REACH purposes". In addition, you should follow the general recommendations provided under the Appendix entitled "General recommendations when conducting and reporting new tests for REACH purposes". For references used in this decision, please consult the Appendix entitled "List of references".

Appeal

This decision, when adopted under Article 51 of REACH, may be appealed to the Board of Appeal of ECHA within three months of its notification to you. Please refer to http://echa.europa.eu/regulations/appeals for further information.

Failure to comply

If you do not comply with the information required by this decision by the deadline indicated above, ECHA will notify the enforcement authorities of your Member State.

Authorised[1] under the authority of Mike Rasenberg, Director of Hazard Assessment

[1] As this is an electronic document, it is not physically signed. This communication has been approved according to ECHA's internal decision-approval process.

Appendix A: Reasons to request information required under Annex IX of REACH

1. Long-term toxicity testing on aquatic invertebrates

Long-term toxicity testing on aquatic invertebrates is an information requirement under Annex IX to REACH (Section 9.1.5.).

You have provided the following information:

i. a justification to omit the study which you consider to be based on Annex IX, Section 9.1., Column 2. In support of your adaptation, you provided the following justification:

"In the chemical safety assessment performed according to Article 14(3) in connection with Annex I section 3 (Environmental Hazard Assessment) no hazard was identified. Therefore according to REACH Annex I (5.0) exposure estimation is not necessary. Consequently, in accordance with Column 2 of REACH Annex IX, the study does not need to be conducted as all identified uses of the substance are assessed as safe for the environment."

We have assessed this information and identified the following issue:

Annex IX, Section 9.1., Column 2 does not allow omitting the need to submit information on long-term toxicity to aquatic invertebrates under Column 1. It must be understood as a trigger for providing further information on aquatic invertebrates if the chemical safety assessment according to Annex I indicates the need (Decision of the Board of Appeal in case A-011-2018).

Your adaptation is therefore rejected.

2. Long-term toxicity testing on fish

Long-term toxicity testing on fish is an information requirement under Annex IX to REACH (Section 9.1.6.).

You have provided the following information:

i. a justification to omit the study which you consider to be based on Annex IX, Section 9.1., Column 2. In support of your adaptation, you provided the following justification:

"In the chemical safety assessment performed according to Article 14(3) in connection with Annex I section 3 (Environmental Hazard Assessment) no hazard was identified. Therefore according to REACH Annex I (5.0) exposure estimation is not necessary. Consequently, in accordance with Column 2 of REACH Annex IX, the study does not need to be conducted as all identified uses of the substance are assessed as safe for the environment."

We have assessed this information and identified the following issue:

Annex IX, Section 9.1., Column 2 does not allow omitting the need to submit information on long-term toxicity to fish under Column 1. It must be understood as a trigger for providing further information on long-term toxicity to fish if the chemical safety assessment according to Annex I indicates the need (Decision of the Board of Appeal in case A-011-2018).

Your adaptation is therefore rejected.

Study design

To fulfil the information requirement for the Substance, the Fish, Early-life Stage Toxicity Test (test method OECD TG 210) is the most appropriate (ECHA Guidance R.7.8.2.).

Appendix B: Reasons to request information required under Annex X of REACH

1. Pre-natal developmental toxicity study in a second species

Pre-natal developmental toxicity (PNDT) studies (OECD TG 414) in two species is a standard information requirement under Annex X to REACH.

You have provided a study conducted with the Substance according to the OECD TG 414 (Prenatal Developmental Toxicity Study) in the rat as a first species (2013).

We have assessed this information and identified the following issue(s):

You have not provided information on a second species. In order to be compliant and enable concluding if the Substance is a developmental toxicant, information provided has to meet the requirements of OECD TG 414 in two species.

Based on the above, the information you provided do not fulfil the information requirement.

Information on study design

A PNDT study according to the OECD TG 414 should be performed in the rabbit or rat as the preferred species. The test in the first species was carried out by using a rodent species (rat). Therefore, a PNDT study in a second species must be performed in the rabbit as preferred non-rodent species.

The study shall be performed with oral[2] administration of the Substance.

2. Extended one-generation reproductive toxicity study

The basic test design of an Extended one-generation reproductive toxicity (EOGRT) study (OECD TG 443) is a standard information requirement under Annex X to REACH. Furthermore Column 2 of Section 8.7.3. defines when the study design needs to be expanded.

While an adaptation was not specifically indicated by you, ECHA has evaluated the provided information according to Annex XI, Section 1.2 of REACH (weight of evidence).

In support of your adaptation, you have provided the following sources of information:

(i) an experimental study (90-day repeated dose toxicity study in rats) according to OECD TG 408 (2014);

(ii) a supporting study (28-day repeated-dose oral toxicity study in rats) according to OECD TG 407 (1995).

Based on the presented sources of information, you argue that the available data gives sufficient information to conlude on reproductive toxicity because: *"No evidence of an effect on the reproductive organs was seen in 28-day and 90-day studies at dose levels of up to and including 1000 mg/kg bw/d. Histopathological changes in reproductive organs in repeated dose toxicity studies are indicative of effects on fertility. In this respect, repeated dose toxicity studies should be considered sensitive and sufficient information to evaluate toxicity on fertility if histological examination of the reproductive organs is covered.".*

Annex XI, Section 1.2 states that there may be sufficient weight of evidence weight of evidence from several independent sources of information leading to assumption/conclusion that a substance has or has not a particular dangerous (hazardous) property, while information from a single source alone is insufficient to support this notion.

[2] ECHA Guidance R.7a, Section R.7.6.2.3.2.

According to ECHA Guidance R.4, a weight of evidence adaptation involves an assessment of the relative values/weights of the different sources of information submitted. The weight given is based on the reliability of the data, consistency of results/data, nature and severity of effects, and relevance and coverage of the information for the given regulatory information requirement. Subsequently, relevance, reliability, coverage, consistency and results of these sources of information must be balanced in order to decide whether they together provide sufficient weight to conclude that the Substance has or has not the (dangerous) property investigated by the required study.

Annex XI, Section 1.2 requires that adequate and reliable documentation is provided to describe your weight of evidence adaptation.

While you have listed in your waiver various studies tackling some reproductive toxicity parameters to justify your adaptation, you have not included a justification with an assessment, integration and weighing of the individual sources of information for relevance, reliability, coverage, consistency and results, and subsequently decided whether they together provide sufficient weight to conclude that the Substance has or has not the dangerous property investigated by the required study.

Irrespective of the above mentioned deficiencies on the documentation, which in itself could lead to the rejection of the adaptation, ECHA has assessed the provided sources of information.

Relevant information that can be used to support weight of evidence adaptation for information requirement of Section 8.7.3 at Annex X includes similar information that is produced by the OECD TG 443. At a general level, this includes information on 1) sexual function and fertility, 2) toxicity to offspring, 3) systemic toxicity.

1. Sexual function and fertility

Relevant information must cover information on sexual function and fertility on both sexes includes information on mating, fertility, gestation, parturition, lactation, organ weights and histopathology of reproductive organs and tissues, oestrous cyclicity, sperm count, sperm analysis, hormone levels, litter sizes, nursing performance and other potential aspects on sexual function and fertility.

The sources of information (i) and (ii) provide relevant information on organ weights and histopathology of reproductive organs.

Information provided on sexual function and fertility is limited and does not cover all relevant and essential aspects as defined above.

The sources of information (i) and (ii) do not inform on mating and functional fertility as required in OECD TG 443. None of the sources of information investigates functional fertility in the P0 generation with sufficient premating exposure duration to ensure the coverage of full spermatogenesis and folliculogenesis before mating.

Therefore, because essential key investigations are missing, no conclusion can be drawn on sexual function and fertility as required by the information requirement.

2. Toxicity to the offspring

Relevant information must cover information on deaths before, during or after birth, growth, sexual maturity, oestrous cyclicity, histopathology of reproductive organs in adulthood and other potential aspects on toxicity to offspring.

There is not relevant information on toxicity to the offspring in sources of information (i) and (ii).

Therefore, no conclusion can be drawn on toxicity to the offspring as required by the information requirement.

3. Systemic toxicity

Relevant information must cover information on clinical signs, survival, body weights, food consumption, haematology, clinical chemistry, organ weights and histopathology of non-reproductive organs and other potential aspects on systemic toxicity in both the parental and F1 generations.

Both sources of information (i) and (ii) provide relevant information on systemic toxicity (for P0 generation). However, there is no information at all on systemic toxicity in the F1 generation.

Information provided on systemic toxicity is limited and does not cover all relevant and essential aspects as defined above.

Therefore, because essential key investigations on systemic toxicity in F1 generation is missing, it is not possible to conclude on that property.

Taken together, the relevant sources of information as indicated above, provide information on

- Sexual function and fertility on parental P0 generation: weight and histopathology of reproductive organs, but lacking information on functional fertility (mating, fertility, gestation (length), parturition and lactation.
- Systemic toxicity, but not covering relevant information on life stages of the F1 generation (postnatal up to adulthood).

Therefore, a significant amount of essential investigations are limited or totally lacking that would inform on sexual function and fertility, toxicity to offspring and systemic toxicity in order to conclude on these aspects, and totally on properties of reproductive toxicity.

It is not possible to conclude, based on any source of information alone or considered together, whether your Substance has or has not the particular dangerous properties foreseen to be investigated in an OECD TG 443 study with a design described in this decision.

Therefore, your adaptation is rejected and the information requirement is not fulfilled.

<u>Specifications for the study design</u>

Premating exposure duration and dose-level setting

The length of premating exposure period must be ten weeks to cover the full spermatogenesis and folliculogenesis before the mating, allowing meaningful assessment of the effects on fertility.

Ten weeks premating exposure duration is required to obtain results adequate for classification and labelling and /or risk assessment. There is no substance specific information in the dossier supporting shorter premating exposure duration.[1]

Therefore, the requested premating exposure duration is ten weeks.

In order to be compliant and not to be rejected due to too low dose levels, the highest dose level shall aim to induce systemic toxicity, but not death or severe suffering of the animals, to allow comparison of reproductive toxicity and systemic toxicity. The dose level selection should be based upon the fertility effects. A descending sequence of dose levels should be selected in order to demonstrate any dose-related effect and to establish NOAELs.

If there is no relevant data to be used for dose level setting, it is recommended that range-finding results are reported with the main study.

You have to provide a justification with your study results that demonstrates that the dose level selection meets the conditions described above.

Cohorts 1A and 1B

Cohorts 1A and 1B belong to the basic study design and must be included.

Species and route selection

The study must be performed in rats with oral[3] administration.

Further expansion of the study design

The conditions to include the extension of Cohort 1B are currently not met. Furthermore, no triggers for the inclusion of Cohorts 2A and 2B (developmental neurotoxicity) and/or Cohort 3 (developmental immunotoxicity) were identified. However, you may expand the study by including the extension of Cohort 1B, Cohorts 2A and 2B and/or Cohort 3 if relevant information becomes available from other studies or during the conduct of this study. Inclusion is justified if the available information meets the criteria and conditions which are described in Column 2, Section 8.7.3., Annex X. You may also expand the study due to other scientific reasons in order to avoid a conduct of a new study. The study design, including any added expansions, must be fully justified and documented. Further detailed guidance on study design and triggers is provided in ECHA Guidance[4].

[3] ECHA Guidance R.7a, Section R.7.6.2.3.2.
[4] ECHA Guidance R.7a, Section R.7.6.

Appendix C: Requirements to fulfil when conducting and reporting new tests for REACH purposes

A. Test methods, GLP requirements and reporting

1. Under Article 13(3) of REACH, all new data generated as a result of this decision must be conducted according to the test methods laid down in a European Commission Regulation or to international test methods recognised by the Commission or ECHA as being appropriate.

2. Under Article 13(4) of REACH, ecotoxicological and toxicological tests and analyses must be carried out according to the GLP principles (Directive 2004/10/EC) or other international standards recognised by the Commission or ECHA.

3. Under Article 10(a)(vi) and (vii) of REACH, all new data generated as a result of this decision must be reported as study summaries, or as robust study summaries, if required under Annex I of REACH. See ECHA Practical Guide on How to report robust study summaries[5].

B. Test material

1. Selection of the Test material(s)

   The Test Material used to generate the new data must be selected taking into account the following:
   - the boundary composition(s) of the Substance,
   - the impact of each constituent/ impurity on the test results for the endpoint to be assessed. For example, if a constituent/ impurity of the Substance is known to have an impact on (eco)toxicity, the selected Test Material must contain that constituent/ impurity.

2. Information on the Test Material needed in the updated dossier
   - You must report the composition of the Test Material selected for each study, under the "Test material information" section, for each respective endpoint study record in IUCLID.
   - The reported composition must include all constituents of each Test Material and their concentration values and other parameters relevant for the property to be tested.

This information is needed to assess whether the Test Material is relevant for the Substance.

Technical instructions on how to report the above is available in the manual on How to prepare registration and PPORD dossiers[6].

[5] https://echa.europa.eu/practical-guides
[6] https://echa.europa.eu/manuals

Appendix D: General recommendations when conducting and reporting new tests for REACH purposes

A. Strategy for the PBT/vPvB assessment

Under Annex XIII, the information must be based on data obtained under conditions relevant for the PBT/vPvB assessment. You must assess the PBT properties of each relevant constituent of the Substance present in concentrations at or above 0.1% (w/w) and of all relevant transformation/degradation products. Alternatively, you would have to justify why you consider these not relevant for the PBT/vPvB assessment.

You are advised to consult ECHA Guidance R.7b (Section R.7.9.), R.7c (Section R.7.10) and R.11 on PBT assessment to determine the sequence of the tests needed to reach the conclusion on PBT/vPvB. The guidance provides advice on 1) integrated testing strategies (ITS) for the P, B and T assessments and 2) the interpretation of results in concluding whether the Substance fulfils the PBT/vPvB criteria of Annex XIII.

In particular, you are advised to first conclude whether the Substance fulfils the Annex XIII criteria for P and vP, and then continue with the assessment for bioaccumulation. When determining the sequence of simulation degradation testing you are advised to consider the intrinsic properties of the Substance, its identified uses and release patterns as these could significantly influence the environmental fate of the Substance. You must revise your PBT assessment when the new information is available.

Appendix E: Procedure

This decision does not prevent ECHA from initiating further compliance checks at a later stage on the registrations present.

ECHA followed the procedure detailed in Articles 50 and 51 of REACH.

The compliance check was initiated on 14 December 2020.

ECHA notified you of the draft decision and invited you to provide comments.

In your comments you agreed to the draft decision. ECHA took your comments into account and did not amend the request(s).

ECHA notified the draft decision to the competent authorities of the Member States for proposals for amendment.

As no amendments were proposed, ECHA adopted the decision under Article 51(3) of REACH.

Appendix F: List of references - ECHA Guidance[7] and other supporting documents

Evaluation of available information
Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.4 (version 1.1., December 2011), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.4 where relevant.

QSARs, read-across and grouping
Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.6 (version 1.0, May 2008), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.6 where relevant.

Read-across assessment framework (RAAF, March 2017)[8]

RAAF - considerations on multiconstituent substances and UVCBs (RAAF UVCB, March 2017)[9]

Physical-chemical properties
Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.7a (version 6.0, July 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.7a in this decision.

Toxicology
Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.7a (version 6.0, July 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.7a in this decision.

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.7c (version 3.0, June 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.7c in this decision.

Environmental toxicology and fate
Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.7a (version 6.0, July 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.7a in this decision.

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.7b (version 4.0, June 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.7b in this decision.

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.7c (version 3.0, June 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.7c in this decision.

PBT assessment
Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.11 (version 3.0, June 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.11 in this decision.

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.16 (version 3.0, February 2016), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.16 in this decision.

Data sharing
Guidance on data-sharing (version 3.1, January 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance on data sharing in this decision.

OECD Guidance documents[10]

[7] https://echa.europa.eu/guidance-documents/guidance-on-information-requirements-and-chemical-safety-assessment
[8] https://echa.europa.eu/support/registration/how-to-avoid-unnecessary-testing-on-animals/grouping-of-substances-and-read-across
[9] https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/13630/raaf_uvcb_report_en.pdf/3f79684d-07a5-e439-16c3-d2c8da96a316
[10] http://www.oecd.org/chemicalsafety/testing/series-testing-assessment-publications-number.htm

Guidance Document on aqueous–phase aquatic toxicity testing of difficult test chemicals – No 23, referred to as OECD GD 23.

Guidance document on transformation/dissolution of metals and metal compounds in aqueous media – No 29, referred to as OECD GD 29.

Guidance Document on Standardised Test Guidelines for Evaluating Chemicals for Endocrine Disruption – No 150, referred to as OECD GD 150.

Guidance Document supporting OECD test guideline 443 on the extended one-generation reproductive toxicity test – No 151, referred to as OECD GD 151.

Appendix G: Addressees of this decision and their corresponding information requirements

You must provide the information requested in this decision for all REACH Annexes applicable to you.

Registrant Name	Registration number	Highest REACH Annex applicable to you
[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]

Where applicable, the name of a third party representative (TPR) may be displayed in the list of recipients whereas ECHA will send the decision to the actual registrant.