

Helsinki, 12 January 2022

# Addressees

Registrant(s) of JS\_2Ethylhexanol\_104-76-7 as listed in the last Appendix of this decision

# Date of submission of the dossier subject to this decision 27/11/2017

**Registered substance subject to this decision ("the Substance")** Substance name: 2-ethylhexan-1-ol EC number: 203-234-3

**Decision number:** Please refer to the REACH-IT message which delivered this communication (in format CCH-D-XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX)

# **DECISION ON A COMPLIANCE CHECK**

Under Article 41 of Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 (REACH), you must submit the information listed below, by the deadline of **18 April 2024**.

Requested information must be generated using the Substance unless otherwise specified.

# A. Information required from all the Registrants subject to Annex IX of REACH

- 1. Long-term toxicity testing on aquatic invertebrates (Annex IX, Section 9.1.5.; test method: EU C.20./OECD TG 211)
- Long-term toxicity testing on fish (Annex IX, Section 9.1.6.; test method: OECD TG 210)

Reasons for the request(s) are explained in the following appendices:

• Appendices entitled "Reasons to request information required under Annex IX of REACH", respectively.

### Information required depends on your tonnage band

You must provide the information listed above for all REACH Annexes applicable to you, and in accordance with Articles 10(a) and 12(1) of REACH:

• the information specified in Annexes VII, VIII and IX to REACH, for registration at 100-1000 tpa.

You are only required to share the costs of information that you must submit to fulfil your information requirements.

### How to comply with your information requirements

To comply with your information requirements you must submit the information requested by this decision in an updated registration dossier by the deadline indicated above. You must also update the chemical safety report, where relevant, including any changes to classification and labelling, based on the newly generated information.



You must follow the general testing and reporting requirements provided under the Appendix entitled "Requirements to fulfil when conducting and reporting new tests for REACH purposes". For references used in this decision, please consult the Appendix entitled "List of references".

# Appeal

This decision, when adopted under Article 51 of REACH, may be appealed to the Board of Appeal of ECHA within three months of its notification to you. Please refer to <u>http://echa.europa.eu/regulations/appeals</u> for further information.

## Failure to comply

If you do not comply with the information required by this decision by the deadline indicated above, ECHA will notify the enforcement authorities of your Member State.

Authorised<sup>1</sup> under the authority of Mike Rasenberg, Director of Hazard Assessment

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> As this is an electronic document, it is not physically signed. This communication has been approved according to ECHA's internal decision-approval process.



3 (10)

# Appendix A: Reasons to request information required under Annex IX of REACH

## **1.** Long-term toxicity testing on aquatic invertebrates

Long-term toxicity testing on aquatic invertebrates is an information requirement under Annex IX to REACH (Section 9.1.5.).

In the dossier, you have provided a justification to omit the study which you consider to be based on Annex IX, Section 9.1., Column 2. In support of your adaptation, you provided the following justification: "According to REACH Annex IX, 9.1, Column 2, the test is not required (CSA does not indicate the need for further investigations)".

We have assessed this information and identified the following issue:

Annex IX, Section 9.1., Column 2 does not allow omitting the need to submit information on long-term toxicity to aquatic invertebrates under Column 1. It must be understood as a trigger for providing further information on aquatic invertebrates if the chemical safety assessment according to Annex I indicates the need (Decision of the Board of Appeal in case A-011-2018).

In the comments to the draft decision, you consider that ECHA's interpretation of the Column 2 provision "*is in conflict with the preamble of REACH Annex IX, the preamble of REACH Annex XI as well as ECHA Guidance on Information Requirements and Chemical Safety Assessment, Chapter R.7b"* (Section 2.2 of the document attached to the comments). To substantiate this, you provide quotes, in isolation from the general REACH guidance note (introducing Annexes VI to XI), from the additional introductory notes in Annexes IX and XI as well as from the ECHA Guidance R.7. You consider that these quotes support an understanding that testing under Annex IX would need to be triggered by indications from the Chemical Safety Assessment (CSA). You then re-iterate that this study should be omitted according to column 2 of Annex IX, Section 9.1 based on the CSA (Section 2.2 of the document attached to the comments). Where the proposed adaptation is rejected, you agree to perform the requested study (Section 2.3 of the document attached to the comments).

Regarding ECHA's interpretation of the Column 2 provision, we consider that none of the quoted provisions conflicts with ECHA's interpretation of the adaptation rule. "Adaptation" must not be interpreted as limited to "omittance" of the standard information listed in Column 1. ECHA acknowledges that the wording of the Column 2 provision could bear fundamentally different meanings, but from the context and objectives ECHA considers that Column 2 of Section 9.1. of Annex IX must be interpreted as meaning that registrants are required to submit information on a further study than the standard information listed in Column 1 of Annex IX, if the CSA indicates that it is necessary to investigate the effects of a substance on aquatic organisms beyond what the standard information can provide.<sup>2</sup>

Regarding your particular arguments concerning ECHA Guidance R.7b, ECHA further draws your attention to the communication of 5 August 2020 in ECHA's Weekly News Alert<sup>3</sup> and specifically to the information on ECHA website regarding standard information requirements<sup>4</sup>, which make explicit that the interpretation by the Board of Appeal in its decision in case A-011-2018 overrides the advice given in the ECHA Guidance.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See also the detailed reasons given in paragraphs 145-180 of the Board of Appeal's decision in case A-011-2018. All decisions of the Board of Appeal are available from ECHA's website at <u>https://echa.europa.eu/about-us/who-we-are/board-of-appeal/decisions</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> <u>https://echa.europa.eu/it/-/echa-weekly-5-august-2020</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> <u>https://echa.europa.eu/standard-information-requirements-recommendations.</u>



4 (10)

As explained above, registrants may only adapt this information requirement based on the general rules set out in Annex XI, and none of the arguments provided in your comments refers to adaptation possibilities under Annex XI.

Your adaptation is therefore rejected.

On this basis, the information requirement is not fulfilled.

### Study design

To fulfil the information requirement for the Substance, the Daphnia magna reproduction test (test method OECD TG 211) is the most appropriate (ECHA Guidance R.7.8.2.).

The Substance is difficult to test due to its surface active properties (surface tension = 47mN/m at 20 °C). The OECD TG 211 specifies that, for difficult to test substances, you must consider the approach described in the OECD GD 23 or other approaches, if more appropriate for your substance. In all cases, the approach selected must be justified and documented. Due to the properties of Substance, it may be difficult to achieve and maintain the desired exposure concentrations. Therefore, you must monitor the test concentration(s) of the Substance throughout the exposure duration and report the results. If it is not possible to demonstrate the stability of exposure concentrations (i.e. measured concentration(s) not within 80-120% of the nominal concentration(s)), you must express the effect concentration based on measured values as described in the OECD TG 211. In case a dose-response relationship cannot be established (no observed effects), you must demonstrate that the approach used to prepare test solutions was adequate to maximise the concentration of the Substance in the test solution. Furthermore, exposure concentrations must be below the critical micelle concentration (CMC). This will ensure that test organisms are exposed to the freely dissolved chemical species and not the micelle which can alter the uptake of the test chemical.

# 2. Long-term toxicity testing on fish

Long-term toxicity testing on fish is an information requirement under Annex IX to REACH (Section 9.1.6.).

In the dossier, you have provided the same justification as for long-term toxicity testing on aquatic invertebrates to omit the study which you consider to be based on Annex IX, Section 9.1., Column 2.

In the comments to the draft decision, you re-iterate that this study should be omitted according to column 2 of Annex IX, Section 9.1. based on the CSA, using the same arguments as for long-term toxicity testing on aquatic invertebrates (Section 2.2 of the document attached to the comments).

For the same reasons as explained under Appendix A.1. your adaptation is therefore rejected.

In the comments to the draft decision, you further indicate that you do not agree to conduct the requested long-term toxicity testing on fish study with the following justification: "considering absence of any indication for a specifically high fish toxicity, due to animal welfare reasons unnecessary vertebrate testing should be avoided" (Section 2.3 of the document attached to the comments). You provide further arguments that you consider longterm toxicity to fish testing is not justified on the basis of environmental fate considerations and unlikelyhood of high toxicity towards fish based on the available acute toxicity data and mode of action.



5 (10)

As explained above, registrant may only adapt this information requirement based on the general rules set out in Annex XI and none of the arguments provided in your comments refers to adaptation possibilities under Annex XI.

On this basis, the information requirement is not fulfilled.

### Study design

To fulfil the information requirement for the Substance, the Fish, Early-life Stage Toxicity Test (test method OECD TG 210) is the most appropriate (ECHA Guidance R.7.8.2.).

The OECD TG 210 specifies that for difficult to test substances the OECD GD 23 must be followed. As already explained above, the Substance is difficult to test. Therefore, you must fulfil the requirements described in 'Study design' under Appendix A.1.



# Appendix B: Requirements to fulfil when conducting and reporting new tests for REACH purposes

# A. Test methods, GLP requirements and reporting

- 1. Under Article 13(3) of REACH, all new data generated as a result of this decision must be conducted according to the test methods laid down in a European Commission Regulation or to international test methods recognised by the Commission or ECHA as being appropriate.
- 2. Under Article 13(4) of REACH, ecotoxicological and toxicological tests and analyses must be carried out according to the GLP principles (Directive 2004/10/EC) or other international standards recognised by the Commission or ECHA.
- 3. Under Article 10(a)(vi) and (vii) of REACH, all new data generated as a result of this decision must be reported as study summaries, or as robust study summaries, if required under Annex I of REACH. See ECHA Practical Guide on How to report robust study summaries<sup>5</sup>.

# B. Test material

Before generating new data, you must agree within the joint submission on the chemical composition of the material to be tested (Test Material) which must be relevant for all the registrants of the Substance.

1. Selection of the Test material(s)

The Test Material used to generate the new data must be selected taking into account the following:

- the variation in compositions reported by all members of the joint submission,
- the boundary composition(s) of the Substance,
- the impact of each constituent/ impurity on the test results for the endpoint to be assessed. For example, if a constituent/ impurity of the Substance is known to have an impact on (eco)toxicity, the selected Test Material must contain that constituent/ impurity.
- 2. Information on the Test Material needed in the updated dossier
  - You must report the composition of the Test Material selected for each study, under the "Test material information" section, for each respective endpoint study record in IUCLID.
  - The reported composition must include all constituents of each Test Material and their concentration values and other parameters relevant for the property to be tested.

This information is needed to assess whether the Test Material is relevant for the Substance and whether it is suitable for use by all members of the joint submission.

Technical instructions on how to report the above is available in the manual on How to prepare registration and PPORD dossiers<sup>6</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> <u>https://echa.europa.eu/practical-guides</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> <u>https://echa.europa.eu/manuals</u>



# **Appendix C: Procedure**

This decision does not prevent ECHA from initiating further compliance checks at a later stage on the registrations present.

ECHA followed the procedure detailed in Articles 50 and 51 of REACH.

The compliance check was initiated on 05 January 2021.

ECHA notified you of the draft decision and invited you to provide comments.

ECHA took into account your comments and removed the request for Extended one generation reproductive toxicity study from the decision.

ECHA notified the draft decision to the competent authorities of the Member States for proposals for amendment.

As no amendments were proposed, ECHA adopted the decision under Article 51(3) of REACH.



# 8 (10)

# **Appendix D: List of references - ECHA Guidance<sup>7</sup> and other supporting documents**

#### Evaluation of available information

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.4 (version 1.1., December 2011), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.4 where relevant.

#### QSARs, read-across and grouping

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.6 (version 1.0, May 2008), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.6 where relevant.

Read-across assessment framework (RAAF, March 2017)<sup>8</sup>

RAAF - considerations on multiconstituent substances and UVCBs (RAAF UVCB, March 2017)9

#### Physical-chemical properties

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.7a (version 6.0, July 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.7a in this decision.

#### <u>Toxicology</u>

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.7a (version 6.0, July 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.7a in this decision.

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.7c (version 3.0, June 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.7c in this decision.

#### Environmental toxicology and fate

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.7a (version 6.0, July 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.7a in this decision.

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.7b (version 4.0, June 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.7b in this decision.

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.7c (version 3.0, June 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.7c in this decision.

### PBT assessment

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.11 (version 3.0, June 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.11 in this decision.

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.16 (version 3.0, February 2016), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.16 in this decision.

#### Data sharing

Guidance on data-sharing (version 3.1, January 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance on data sharing in this decision.

### OECD Guidance documents<sup>10</sup>

<sup>10</sup> <u>http://www.oecd.org/chemicalsafety/testing/series-testing-assessment-publications-number.htm</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> <u>https://echa.europa.eu/guidance-documents/guidance-on-information-requirements-and-chemical-safety-assessment</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> <u>https://echa.europa.eu/support/registration/how-to-avoid-unnecessary-testing-on-animals/grouping-of-substances-and-read-across</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> <u>https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/13630/raaf\_uvcb\_report\_en.pdf/3f79684d-07a5-e439-16c3-d2c8da96a316</u>



Guidance Document on aqueous–phase aquatic toxicity testing of difficult test chemicals – No 23, referred to as OECD GD 23.

Guidance document on transformation/dissolution of metals and metal compounds in aqueous media – No 29, referred to as OECD GD 29.

Guidance Document on Standardised Test Guidelines for Evaluating Chemicals for Endocrine Disruption – No 150, referred to as OECD GD 150.

Guidance Document supporting OECD test guideline 443 on the extended one-generation reproductive toxicity test – No 151, referred to as OECD GD 151.



# Appendix E: Addressees of this decision and their corresponding information requirements

You must provide the information requested in this decision for all REACH Annexes applicable to you.

| Registrant Name | Registration number | Highest REACH<br>Annex applicable<br>to you |
|-----------------|---------------------|---|
|                 |                     |   |
|                 |                     |   |
|                 |                     |   |
|                 |                     |   |
|                 |                     |   |
|                 |                     |   |
|                 |                     |   |
|                 |                     |   |
|                 |                     |   |
|                 |                     |   |
|                 |                     |   |
|                 |                     |   |
|                 |                     |   |
|                 |                     |   |

Where applicable, the name of a third party representative (TPR) may be displayed in the list of recipients whereas ECHA will send the decision to the actual registrant.