# Justification for the selection of a substance for CoRAP inclusion

**Substance Name (Public Name):** 6,6'-di-tert-butyl-4,4'butylidenedi-m-

cresol

Chemical Group: Hindered Phenols AND Bridged Alkyl

Phenols

**EC Number:** 201-618-5

**CAS Number:** 85-60-9

**Submitted by:** France

**Date:** 17/03/2015

#### Note

This document has been prepared by the evaluating Member State given in the  $\operatorname{CoRAP}$  update.

## **Contents**

1	IDE	NTITY OF THE SUBSTANCE	3
	1.1	Other identifiers of the substance	3
2	CLA	SSIFICATION AND LABELLING	12
	2.1	Harmonised Classification in Annex VI of the CLP	12
	2.2	Self classification	12
	2.3	Proposal for Harmonised Classification in Annex VI of the CLP	12
3	INF	ORMATION ON AGGREGATED TONNAGE AND USES	.13
		HER COMPLETED/ONGOING REGULATORY PROCESSES THAT MAY AFFECT	
Sl	JITA	BILITY FOR SUBSTANCE EVALUATION	.14
5	JUS	TIFICATION FOR THE SELECTION OF THE CANDIDATE CORAP SUBSTANCE	.14
	5.1	Legal basis for the proposal	14
		Selection criteria met (why the substance qualifies for being in CoRAP)	14
		Initial grounds for concern to be clarified under Substance Evaluation Preliminary indication of information that may need to be requested to	15
		clarify the concern	16
	5.5	Potential follow-up and link to risk management	16

#### 1 IDENTITY OF THE SUBSTANCE

# 1.1 Other identifiers of the substance

**Table 1: Substance identity** 

6,6'-di-tert-butyl-4,4'-butylidenedi-m-cresol
4,4'-butane-1,1-diylbis(2-tert-butyl-5-methylphenol)
none
C <sub>26</sub> H <sub>38</sub> O <sub>2</sub>
382.6 g/mol

**Type of substance**  $\square$  Mono-constituent  $\square$  Multi-constituent  $\square$  UVCB

#### Structural formula:

#### 1.2 Similar substances/grouping possibilities

A group approach has been submitted by the registrants of phenol substances in the frame of The High Production Volume (HPV) Challenge Program<sup>1</sup> and published by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

The sponsor, Rubber and Plastic Additives Panel (RAPA) of the American Chemistry Council, submitted a Test Plan and Robust Summaries to EPA for the **hindered phenols category** on December 18, 2001. See the embedded document.



The structural similarity is based as follow: the hindered phenols category consists of a group of chemicals in which a molecule of phenol (hydroxybenzene) has relatively large aliphatic and/or aromatic groups positioned adjacent to the hydroxyl group (the 2-, or ortho- position). Eight substances form the hindered phenols category based on structural similarity (see table 1), including the current substance to be manual screened.

After comments by the US-EPA and public consultation, the sponsor subsequently divided the hindered phenols category into two separate categories (**styrenated phenols and bridged alkyl phenols**) and two stand-alone chemicals. The sponsor submitted a test plan and robust summaries for bridged alkyl phenols category dated July 10, 2003. The related embedded document (see below) is the final report available and considered as the most relevant and refined one for the current manual screening. The structural similarity is based as follow: The bridged alkyl phenols category consists chemicals in which two molecules of mono or di-substituted alkyl (C1, C4, and/or C9) phenols are "bridged" or linked by a single atom (carbon or sulfur). The carbon atom linking the alkyl phenol groups contains hydrogen, propyl, or methyl substitutions.



Amongst the identified similar substances, several are already listed on the CoRAP for several grounds of concern including suspected PBT, ED and sensitizing properties and some have already been assessed in the frame of the PBT Experts group. This status under REACH is provided in the last column of table 2.

From the assessment under the HPV Challenge Program, the following has been concluded by the registrants for the whole category of the bridged alkyl phenols

- The rate of hydrolysis of bridged alkyl phenols is considered negligible;
- The rate of atmospheric photooxidation is considered rapid; however, this is not expected to be an important environmental fate process since these substances are not expected to exist in the vapor phase in the atmosphere;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> voluntary initiative aimed at developing and making publicly available screening-level health and environmental effects information on chemicals manufactured in or imported into the United States in quantities greater than one million pounds per year

- The bridged alkyl phenols are expected to have moderate persistence and a low bioaccumulation potential (except one substance CAS 79-96-9 with high bioaccumulation potential: Log Kow estimated at 7,46; BAF estimated at 7,666<sup>2</sup>);
- Measured LC50 to fish and aquatic invertebrates are over 0.1 mg/L.

The substance Phenol, 4-methyl-, reaction products with dicyclopentadiene and isobutylene (CAS 68610-51-5; EC 271-867-2), has been screened for PBT properties.

- is P and potentially vP on screening,
- is not B based on the available data but without considering the bioaccumulation potential of the constituents (expected higher) (thus no clear conclusion is yet available on the B criterion),
- is probably not T based only on acute toxicity studies.

The substance stryrenated phenol (CAs 61788-44-1; EC 262-975-0) is currently under substance evaluation by UK and has been covered by an informal PBT assessment in the frame of the former TC NES PBT Working Group and the current PBT Experts Group of ECHA (confidential PBT factsheet).

It is also noted that an additional substance, Mono- and/or di- and/or tri(1-phenylethyl)-m-cresol and p-cresol (EC 700-427-9) is currently under substance evaluation by Belgium (CORAP 2013) based on initial concerns that include suspected PBT properties.

Although it was not included in the HPV group approach, this substance also meet the structural definition of the category and is added in table 2 for consideration.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> U.S. EPA. 2010. Estimation Programs Interface Suite<sup>™</sup> for Microsoft® Windows, v4.00. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC, USA. Available online from: <a href="http://www.epa.gov/opptintr/exposure/pubs/episuitedl.htm">http://www.epa.gov/opptintr/exposure/pubs/episuitedl.htm</a> as of May 10, 2010.

**Table 2: Group approach available in the literature** 

Substance names	EC/CAS numbers	Structure	Category	Status under REACH
Phenol, 4,4'- butylidenebis[2-(1,1- dimethylethyl)-5-methyl- 6,6'-di-tert-butyl-4,4'- butylidenedi-m-cresol  Substance on CoRAP 2015-2017	201-618-5 /85-60-9	H <sub>3</sub> C CH <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>3</sub>	hindered phenols category AND bridged alkyl phenols	Registered
Phenol, isobutylenated methylstyrenated	270-604-9 /68457-74- 9	t-Butyl $X$ $Y$ $Y = 1, 2 \text{ or } 3$	hindered phenols category	Not registered
Phenol, styrenated (1)  Reaction mass of 2,4,6-tris(1-phenylethyl)phenol and Bis(1-phenylethyl) phenol (2)	262-975-0 /61788-44- 1 (1) 915-333-5 /NS (2)	n = 2 or 3	hindered phenols category	Registered  Substance subjected to transitional measures  CoRAP 2014 UK (grounds for concern: Environment/Suspected PBT; Potential endocrine disruptor; Exposure/Cumulative exposure)  PBT factsheet

Mono- and/or di- and/or tri(1-phenylethyl)-m-cresol and p-cresol	700-427-9	OH () <sub>1-3</sub>	Not included in the HPV group approach	Registered  CORAP 2013 Belgium (grounds for concern: Environment/Suspected PBT; Exposure/Wide dispersive use; Consumer use.
4,4'-Thiobis-6-(t-butyl-m-cresol) 6,6'-di-tert-butyl-4,4'-thiodi-m-cresol Phenol, 4,4'-thiobis[2-(1,1-dimethylethyl)-5-methyl-	202-525-2 /96-69-5	H <sub>3</sub> C CH <sub>3</sub> OH H <sub>3</sub> C CH <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>3</sub>	hindered phenols category AND bridged alkyl phenols	Registered  CoRAP 2016 Austria (grounds for concern: Human health / Suspected CMR; Sensitiser; Suspected Endocrine Disruptor; Environment/Suspected PBT; Exposure/Wide dispersive use; Consumer use)
Phenol, 4-methyl-, reaction products with dicyclopentadiene and isobutylene	271-867-2 /68610-51- 5	OH CH2 -H2C-C-C-CH3 Methyl X	hindered phenols category	Registered  CoRAP 2016 Spain (grounds for concern: Environment/Suspected PBT/vPvB; Exposure/Wide dispersive use, exposure of environment)  PBT factsheet

1,3,5-tris(3,5-di-tert-butyl-4-hydroxybenzyl-)-1,3,5-triazine-2,4,6(1H,3H,5H)-trione 1,3,5-tris(3,5-di-tert-butyl-4-hydroxybenzyl)-1,3,5-triazine-2,4,6(1H,3H,5H)-trione	248-597-9 /27676-62- 6	H <sub>3</sub> C CH <sub>3</sub>	hindered phenols category	Registered
Phenol, 4,4'-(1- methylethylidene) bis[2-(1,1- dimethylethyl)-  4,4'-isopropylidenebis(o- tert-butylphenol)	201-239-5 /79-96-9	H <sub>3</sub> C CH <sub>3</sub> H <sub>3</sub> C CH <sub>3</sub> OH CH <sub>3</sub>	hindered phenols category AND bridged alkyl phenols	Not registered

EC no 201-618-5 MSCA - France

Phenol, 2,2'-methylenebis[4-methyl-6-nonyl-2,2'-methylenebis(6-nonyl-p-cresol)	232-092-5 /7786-17-6	H <sub>3</sub> C CH <sub>3</sub>	hindered phenols category AND bridged alkyl phenols	Registered
2,2',6,6'-tetra-tert-butyl- 4,4'-methylenediphenol	204-279-1 /118-82-1	OH tBu tBu OH	None identified yet	Registered  Substance subjected to transitional measures  CoRAP 2014 Austria (grounds for concern: Environment/Suspected PBT/vPvB; Potential endocrine disruptor; Suspected CMR, Suspected Sensitiser Exposure/Wide dispersive use, consumer use, exposure of workers, exposure of environment)  PBT factsheet
Bisphenols		но	None identified yet	

4,4'-methylenebis(6-tert-butyl-m-cresol)	220-702-2 /2872-08-4	но	According to Takahashi & Hiraga (1981) <sup>3</sup>	Registered
6,6'-di-tert-butyl-2,2'-methylenedi-p-cresol  2,2'-methylenebis(6-tert-butyl-4-methylphenol)	204-327-1 /119-47-1	H <sub>3</sub> C CH <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>3</sub>	According to Takahashi & Hiraga (1981)	Registered

EC no 201-618-5 MSCA - France Page 10 of 16

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Takahashi O, Hiraga K. Effects of four bisphenolic antioxidants on lipid contents of rat liver. Toxicol Lett. 1981, Apr; 8(1-2):77-86.

\_\_\_\_

201-814-0/ 88-24-4	CH,		Registered
	$H_3C$ $CH_3$ $H_3C$	Hiraga (1981)	
	ОН		
	CH <sub>3</sub>		
	CH <sup>3</sup>		
	CH <sub>3</sub> OH H <sub>3</sub> C		
	201-814-0/ 88-24-4	88-24-4  CH <sub>3</sub> H <sub>3</sub> C  OH  CH <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>3</sub>	88-24-4  CH <sub>3</sub> H <sub>3</sub> C  CH <sub>3</sub> H <sub>3</sub> C  CH <sub>3</sub> Hiraga (1981)

Six substances selected from table 2 are considered relevant for substance evaluation of the substance 6,6'-di-tert-butyl-4,4'-butylidenedi-m-cresol (named hereafter "CAS 85-60-9") by read across (i.e. compounds with two aromatic rings, with two or more t-butyl groups linked to an aromatic ring and with an OH polar group per aromatic ring).

Three of them are not registered under REACH; no or few data are thus available except from the HPV Challenge program. The substance 2,2',6,6'-tetra-tert-butyl-4,4'-methylenediphenol (named hereafter TMBD) is currently under substance evaluation; a in-depth PBT assessment is already available and covered by a confidential PBT factsheet.

#### 2 CLASSIFICATION AND LABELLING

#### 2.1 Harmonised Classification in Annex VI of the CLP

#### 2.2 Self classification

• In the registration

None (data lacking)

 The following hazard classes are in addition notified among the aggregated self classifications in the C&L Inventory:

Skin irrit. 2 (H315), Eye irrit. 2 (H319), STOT RE 2 (H373), Aquatic chronic 1 (H410), 3 (H412) and Aquatic chronic 4 (H413)

# 2.3 Proposal for Harmonised Classification in Annex VI of the CLP

No proposal for harmonized classification in Annex Vi of the CLP

## **3 INFORMATION ON AGGREGATED TONNAGE AND USES**

From ECHA dissemination site						
☐ 1 - 10 tpa		☐ 10 - 100 tpa		oxtimes 100 - 1000 tpa		
☐ 1000 - 10,000 tpa		□ 10,000 - 100,	000 tpa	□ 100,0	000 - 1,000,000 tpa	
	0 tpa	□ 10,000,000 -	100,000,000 tpa	> 10	0,000,000 tpa	
☐ <1	⊦ tpa (e.	g. 10+ ; 100+ ; 1	0,000+ tpa)	☐ Confi	idential	
	⊠ Prof∈	essional use			☐ Closed System	
According to the draft Env diphenol (TBMD) (CAS No. lubricants in Europe. Same butylidenedi-m-cresol, as registration dossier are difuses at industrial sites:	. 118-82- e uses are stated by ferent, th	1) by the Environne expected for the Takahashi and Hinis interpretation ca	nent Agency TBMD whole category inc raga (1981) <sup>1</sup> but s an be questioned.	is used a cluding 6,	as an antioxidant in .6'-di-tert-butyl-4,4'-	
Low workers' exposure explant to workers' exposure explant raffia film and fibers, there workers' exposure expected.	pected from	om the formulation s)	of preparations ar			
<u>Uses by professional work</u> Workers' exposure expecte			es, adhesives and	sealants :	manipulation	
Uses by consumers (indoo Consumers' exposure expe			adhesives and seal	ants		
Potential widespread uses	with poss	sible releases.				
From the public report from applications are the follow		bber and Plastic Ad	ditives Panel (Dec	ember 20	001), commercial	
Hindered phenols are non-staining, non-discoloring, non- migratory additives for natural rubber, synthetic rubber, adhesives, plastics, textile fibers, cable coatings, flooring, and coated paper, as well as natural and synthetic oils. Their purpose is to prevent or greatly delay the deterioration caused by air oxidation. Using a hindered phenol antioxidant greatly extends the useful life of a transparent, translucent, white or light-colored article by preventing the formation of surface cracks, brittleness and yellowing. In oils, a hindered phenol antioxidant functions as a stabilizer, extending the useful life of the lubricating fluid by slowing the natural breakdown process and limiting the buildup of tars and residues. The overall mechanism is similar to that of the antioxidant vitamins A and E in the human body – hindered phenol antioxidants serve as free-radical scavengers. Hindered phenols are cost-effective and efficient antioxidants. Usage levels for most applications are typically within the range of 0.5 to 2%.						
Regarding exposure: These materials are made as powders, flakes, emulsions and liquids. Product forms that minimize dust generation, coupled with the mechanized materials handling systems of the large industrial users, combine to keep exposures to minimum levels. However, during material packout at the manufacturing site and, to a lesser degree during weigh-up activities at the customer site, there is a potential for skin and inhalation exposure (nuisance dust is the primary route of worker exposure) and also dermal contact with liquid forms						

# 4 OTHER COMPLETED/ONGOING REGULATORY PROCESSES THAT MAY AFFECT SUITABILITY FOR SUBSTANCE EVALUATION

☐ Compliance check, Final decision	☐ Dangerous substances Directive 67/548/EEC		
☐ Testing proposal	☐ Existing Substances Regulation 793/93/EEC		
☐ Annex VI (CLP)	☐ Plant Protection Products Regulation 91/414/EEC		
☐ Annex XV (SVHC)	☐ Biocidal Products Directive 98/8/EEC ; Biocidal Product Regulation (Regulation (EU) 528/2012)		
☐ Annex XIV (Authorisation)	☐ Other (provide further details below)		
☐ Annex XVII (Restriction)			
none			
5 JUSTIFICATION FOR TH CORAP SUBSTANCE	IE SELECTION OF THE CANDIDATE		
5.1 Legal basis for the pro	posal		
$oxed{\boxtimes}$ Article 44(2) (refined prioritisation	n criteria for substance evaluation)		
☐ Article 45(5) (Member State prio	rity)		
5.2 Selection criteria met	(why the substance qualifies for being in CoRAP)		
oxtimes Fulfils criteria as CMR/ Suspecte	d CMR		
☐ Fulfils criteria as Sensitiser/ Susp	ected sensitiser		

□ Fulfils criteria as PBT/vPvB / Suspected PBT/vPvB

☐ Fulfils exposure criteria

☐ Fulfils MS's (national) priorities

 $\square$  Fulfils criteria high (aggregated) tonnage (tpa > 1000)

# 5.3 Initial grounds for concern to be clarified under

**Substance Evaluation** 

#### Hazard based concerns **CMR** Suspected CMR<sup>1</sup> □ Potential endocrine disruptor $\Box C \Box M \boxtimes R$ $\square$ C $\square$ M $\square$ R ☐ Sensitiser ☐ Suspected Sensitiser<sup>4</sup> ☐ PBT/vPvB Suspected PBT/vPvB¹ ☐ Other (please specify below) Exposure/risk based concerns ⊠ Wide dispersive use Consumer use Exposure of sensitive populations ☐ Cumulative exposure ☐ High RCR ☐ High (aggregated) tonnage ☐ Other (please specify below)

#### **HH-based concern:**

Substances of the category "bridged alkyl phenol" includes bisphenol A that induces adverse effects on fertility and development and presents endocrine disruption properties. In the same category, 2,2'-Methylenebis(6-tert-butyl-4-methylphenol) (CAS 119-47-1) is also reported as self-classified by some notifiers Repr 2 – H361 due to testicular effects.

Only limited information is available to evaluate the reproductive toxicant potential of the substance under manual screening (screening reproduction/developmental assay). Although no conclusive findings are identified in this screening assay, alerts consisting in uterine dilatation and isolated mammary adenoma are observed in the 90-day study. The endocrine disruption potential and reproductive toxicant potential of the present substance need to be further evaluated.

#### PBT/vPvB based concern:

Based on the current registration dossier, 6,6'-di-tert-butyl-4,4'-butylidenedi-m-cresol (CAS 85-60-9) is considered not readily biodegradable (P/vP met on screening) and possibly not T based on acute toxicity data only (the reliability of the provided studies needs to be further evaluated). The substance is considered not bioaccumulative by the registrant(s) based on calculations but no conclusion can be drawn as the B criterion is met on screening (Log Kow > 4.5) and no measured BCF is available.

Nevertheless, 6,6'-di-tert-butyl-4,4'-butylidenedi-m-cresol (CAS 85-60-9) screens as a potential P/vP and B substance. The read-across outcome with similar substances underlines the need to investigate further its bioaccumulation potential and then its persistence if needed. Beyond the aquatic toxicity data, the Repr. 2 status needs to be further assessed and may fulfill the T criterion of Annex XIII.

Similar substances are already scheduled for substance evaluation based on a suspected PBT concern and a group approach appears relevant; the similar substance 6,6'-di-tert-butyl-2,2'-methylenedi-p-cresol (CAS 119-47-1) has not yet identified by any IT screening but may be a good candidate too (its non-PBT status concluded by the TC NES PBT working group should indeed be revised in the light on the on-going evaluations).

#### Exposure - risk based concern:

Widespread uses and possible release to the environment are suspected. No exposure assessment and estimation of release to the environment and exposure of man is provided in the registration dossiers as

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> <u>CMR/Sensitiser</u>: known carcinogenic and/or mutagenic and/or reprotoxic properties/known sensitising properties (according to CLP harmonized or registrant self-classification or CLP Inventory)

<u>Suspected CMR/Suspected sensitiser</u>: suspected carcinogenic and/or mutagenic and/or reprotoxic properties/suspected sensitising properties (not classified according to CLP harmonized or registrant self-classification)

Suspected PBT: Potentially Persistent, Bioaccumulative and Toxic

the substance is not considered to be PBT and does not need to be classified referring to the estimate of the registrants.								
Other based concerns:								
Further information on metabolites is necessary for the risk assessment process. The degradation of the substances has to be evaluated. It will be verified, if relevant metabolites are expected to be formed.								
	inary indication sted to clarify th		that may need to be					
·			on physica showing properties					
☐ Information on toxic			on physico-chemical properties					
☐ Information on fate a		☐ Information	•					
☐ Information on ecoto			☐ Information on uses					
☐ Information ED poter	ntial	Other (provi	☐ Other (provide further details below)					
5.5 Potential follow-up and link to risk management								
☐ Harmonised C&L	Restriction	Authorisation	☐ Other (provide further details)					
None at the current step								