

Helsinki, 25 June 2019

Addressee:

Decision number: TPE-D-2114471123-58-01/F Substance name: 1-chloro-2,3-dimethylbenzene

EC number: 480-880-4 CAS number: 608-23-<u>1</u>

Registration number: Submission number:

Submission date: 16/01/2019 Registered tonnage band: 100-1000

DECISION ON A TESTING PROPOSAL

Based on Article 40 of Regulation ((EC) No 1907/2006) (the REACH Regulation), ECHA examined your testing proposal(s) and decided as follows.

Your testing proposals are accepted and you are requested to carry out:

- 1. Viscosity (Annex IX, Section 7.17.; test method: OECD TG 114) using the registered substance.
- 2. Pre-natal developmental toxicity study (Annex IX, Section 8.7.2.; test method: OECD TG 414) in a first species (rat or rabbit), oral route using the registered substance.
- 3. Long-term toxicity on terrestrial invertebrates (Annex X, Section 9.4.4.; test method: Earthworm reproduction test, OECD TG 222) using the registered substance.

You are requested to perform as additional test:

4. Effects on soil micro-organisms (Annex IX, Section 9.4.2.; test method: Soil microorganisms: nitrogen transformation test, EU C.21/OECD TG 216 and carbon transformation test, EU C.22/OECD TG 217) using the registered substance

You have to submit the requested information in an updated registration dossier by **2 July 2020**. You shall also update the chemical safety report, where relevant.

The reasons for this decision are set out in Appendix 1. The procedural history is described in Appendix 2 and advice and further observations are provided in Appendix 3.

CONFIDENTIAL 2 (9)



Appeal

This decision can be appealed to the Board of Appeal of ECHA within three months of its notification. An appeal, together with the grounds thereof, has to be submitted to ECHA in writing. An appeal has suspensive effect and is subject to a fee. Further details are described under: http://echa.europa.eu/regulations/appeals.

Authorised1 by Claudio Carlon, Head of Unit, Hazard Assessment

 $^{^{1}}$ As this is an electronic document, it is not physically signed. This communication has been approved according to ECHA's internal decision-approval process.



Appendix 1: Reasons

The decision of ECHA is based on the examination of the testing proposals submitted by you.

1. Viscosity (Annex IX, Section 7.17.)

Pursuant to Article 40(3)(a) of the REACH Regulation, ECHA may require the Registrant to carry out the proposed test.

"Viscosity" is a standard information requirement as laid down in Annex IX, Section 7.17 of the REACH Regulation. The information on this endpoint is not available for the registered substance subject to the present decision but needs to be present in the technical dossier to meet the information requirements. Consequently there is an information gap and you need to provide information for this endpoint.

You have submitted a testing proposal for a viscosity study (OECD TG 114).

ECHA considers the proposed test appropriate and testing should be performed with the registered substance.

Therefore, pursuant to Article 40(3)(a) of the REACH Regulation, you are requested to carry out the proposed/modified test using the registered substance: Viscosity of liquids (test method: OECD TG 114).

In your comments on the draft decision you agreed to perform the study.

2. Pre-natal developmental toxicity study (Annex IX, Section 8.7.2.) in a first species

Pursuant to Article 40(3)(a) of the REACH Regulation, ECHA may require the Registrant to carry out the proposed test.

A pre-natal developmental toxicity study for a first species is a standard information requirement as laid down in Annex IX, Section 8.7.2. of the REACH Regulation. The information on this endpoint is not available for the registered substance but needs to be present in the technical dossier to meet the information requirements. Consequently there is an information gap and it is necessary to provide information for this endpoint.

You have submitted a testing proposal for a pre-natal developmental toxicity study in rats according to OECD TG 414 by the oral route with 1-chloro-2,3-dimethylbenzene generic (EC 480-880-4). ECHA notes that in IUCLID Section 1.2, there are two legal entity compositions and, as explained in more detail in Appendix 3 of this decision, the sample used for the new test must be suitable to assess the hazard of these compositions.

ECHA requested your considerations for alternative methods to fulfil the information requirement for Reproductive toxicity (pre-natal developmental toxicity). ECHA notes that you provided your considerations concluding that there were no alternative methods which could be used to adapt the information requirement(s) for which testing is proposed. ECHA has taken these considerations into account.

CONFIDENTIAL 4 (9)



ECHA considers that the proposed study performed with the registered substance is appropriate to fulfil the information requirement of Annex IX, Section 8.7.2. of the REACH Regulation.

You proposed testing with the rat as a first species. According to the test method OECD TG 414, the rat is the preferred rodent species and the rabbit the preferred non-rodent species. On the basis of this default consideration, ECHA considers testing should be performed with the rat or rabbit as a first species.

You proposed testing by the oral route.

ECHA agrees that the oral route is the most appropriate route of administration for substances except gases to focus on the detection of hazardous properties on reproduction as indicated in ECHA *Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment* (version 6.0, July 2017) Chapter R.7a, Section R.7.6.2.3.2. Since the substance to be tested is a liquid, ECHA concludes that testing should be performed by the oral route.

Therefore, pursuant to Article 40(3)(a) of the REACH Regulation, you are requested to carry out the proposed study with the registered substance subject to the present decision: Prenatal developmental toxicity study in a first species (rats or rabbits), oral route (test method: OECD TG 414). In your comments on the draft decision you agreed to perform the study. You also indicated that you intend to test a typical sample of the subtance falling under legal entity composition 1, which is relevant only for the use in cold bitumen. As indicated under Appendix 3 of this decision, ECHA considers that you have to ensure that this "typical sample" is appropriate to assess the properties of the registered substance, taking also into account the variation in both compostions (legal entity 1 and 2).

Notes for your consideration

For the selection of the appropriate species you are advised to consult ECHA *Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment* (version 6.0, July 2017), Chapter R.7a, Section R.7.6.2.3.2.

3. Long-term toxicity to terrestrial invertebrates (Annex IX, Section 9.4.1., column 2)

Pursuant to Article 40(3)(a) of the REACH Regulation, ECHA may require the Registrant to carry out the proposed test.

"Effects on terrestrial organisms" is a standard information requirement as laid down in Annex IX, Section 9.4. of the REACH Regulation. The Registrant must address the standard information requirements set out in Annex IX, Section 9.4., for different taxonomic groups: short-term toxicity testing on invertebrates (Annex IX, Section 9.4.1.), effects on soil microorganisms (Annex IX, Section 9.4.2.), and short-term toxicity testing on plants (Annex IX, Section 9.4.3.). Furthermore, Annex IX, Section 9.4., column 2 specifies that long-term toxicity testing shall be considered by the Registrant instead of short-term, in particular for substances that have a high potential to adsorb to soil or that are very persistent.

The information on "long-term toxicity testing on invertebrates" is not available for the registered substance but needs to be present in the technical dossier to meet the information requirements. Consequently there is an information gap and it is necessary to provide information for this endpoint.



You have submitted a testing proposal for a long-term toxicity test to invertebrates (earthworm reproduction test, OECD TG 222) with the following justifications.

- The substance is expected to adsorb to soil indicated by a log Koc of up to 3.1.
 Long-term testing on terrestrial organisms for substances that have a high potential to adsorb to soil should be considered.
- The substance is categorised into soil hazard category 3, for which the EPM method can be applied in order to assess the risk to soil organisms, but this must be supported by a confirmatory long-term soil toxicity test. One recommended test is the earthworm reproduction test (OECD TG 222).

According to section R.7.11.5.3., Chapter R.7c of the ECHA Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment (version 2.0, November 2014), substances that are ionisable or have a log Kow/Koc >5 are considered highly adsorptive, whereas substances with a half-life >180 days are considered very persistent in soil. According to the evidence presented within the Registration dossier, the substance is considered very persistent, which is the default assumption for not readily biodegradable substances when the value of the half-life in soil is not available. Therefore ECHA agrees that long-term testing is indicated and the proposed test is appropriate to fulfil the information requirement of Annex IX, Section 9.4.1., column 2.

Furthermore, based upon the available aquatic toxicity information and the physico-chemical properties of the substance, and in relation to Section R.7.11.6., Chapter R.7c of the ECHA Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment (version 3.0, June 2017), ECHA considers that the substance would fall into soil hazard category 3. In the context of an integrated testing strategy for soil toxicity, the Guidance advocates performing an initial screening assessment based upon the Equilibrium Partitioning Method (EPM), together with a confirmatory long-term soil toxicity test. The PNECscreen is calculated through EPM on the basis of aquatic toxicity data only. ECHA notes that the strategy pursued by you is based on this approach.

The earthworm reproduction test (OECD TG 222), Enchytraeid reproduction test (OECD TG 220), and Collembolan reproduction test (OECD TG 232) are each considered capable of generating information appropriate for the fulfilment of the information requirements for long-term toxicity testing to terrestrial invertebrates. ECHA is not in a position to determine the most appropriate test protocol, since this decision is dependent upon species sensitivity and substance properties.

Therefore, pursuant to Article 40(3)(a)of the REACH Regulation, you are requested to carry out the proposed study using the registered substance subject to the present decision:

Earthworm reproduction test (test method: OECD TG 222)

In your comments on the draft decision you agreed to perform the study.

4. Effects on soil micro-organisms (Annex IX, Section 9.4.2.)

Pursuant to Article 40(3)(c) of the REACH Regulation, ECHA may require the Registrant to carry out one or more additional tests in case of non-compliance of the testing proposal with Annexes IX, X or XI of the REACH Regulation.

CONFIDENTIAL 6 (9)



"Effects on terrestrial organisms" is a standard information requirement as laid down in Annex IX, Section 9.4. of the REACH Regulation. The Registrant must address the standard information requirements set out in Annex IX, Section 9.4., for different taxonomic groups: short-term toxicity testing on invertebrates (Annex IX, Section 9.4.1.), effects on soil microorganisms (Annex IX, Section 9.4.2.), and short-term toxicity testing on plants (Annex IX, Section 9.4.3.).

You have sought to adapt the information requirement for "effects on soil micro-organisms". You provided the following justification for the adaptation: 'The outcome of the CSA indicates that the EPM method can be applied to assess the hazard to soil organisms, and one confirmatory long-term toxicity test is appropriate to cover REACH information requirements (see Integrated Testing Strategies of ECHA Guidance R.7c, R.7.11.2)'. As noted below, ECHA considers that the intrinsic properties of soil microbial communities are not addressed through the EPM extrapolation method. Therefore, your adaptation of the information requirement cannot be accepted. Consequently there is an information gap and it is necessary to provide information for this endpoint

ECHA notes that the proposed test that ECHA accepted under point (3) above is not sufficient to address this standard information requirement. ECHA concludes that the effects on soil microorganisms need to be ascertained by performing a relevant test.

To address this endpoint, either a nitrogen transformation test (test method: EU C.21/OECD TG 216) or a carbon transformation test (test method: EU C.22/OECD TG 217) could be performed. According to Section R.7.11.3.1, Chapter R.7c of the ECHA Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment (version 3.0, June 2017), ECHA considers the nitrogen transformation test (EU C.21/OECD TG 216) suitable for non-agrochemicals.

Therefore, pursuant to Article 40(3)(c) of the REACH Regulation, you are requested to carry out the following additional test using the registered substance subject to the present decision: Soil microorganisms: nitrogen transformation test, EU C.21/OECD TG 216.

In your comments on the draft decision you indicated that ECHA guidance does not explain that the EPM method does not cover soil microorganisms.

ECHA considers that the intrinsic properties of soil microbial communities are not addressed through the EPM extrapolation method, because information on micro-organisms is not used in setting an aquatic PNEC. Additionally, without evidence on soil micro-organism toxicity the risk to soil microbial communities cannot be adequately assessed. Therefore a soil micro-organism toxicity study is required.

Notes for your consideration

As the Guidance advocates performing an initial screening assessment based upon the EPM, together with a confirmatory long-term soil toxicity test (the long-term toxicity to terrestrial invertebrates test, specified above), which you are requested to carry out by the present decision, ECHA considers that at this stage it is not possible to determine whether a test will be required to fulfil the standard information requirement in Section 9.4.3. of Annex IX of the REACH Regulation.

Therefore, once results of the requested toxicity test on terrestrial invertebrates are available, you should consider whether there is a need to investigate further the effects on

CONFIDENTIAL 7 (9)



terrestrial organisms in order to fulfil the information requirements of Section 9.4 of Annex IX, and if necessary, submit testing proposals for additional terrestrial toxicity tests. If you conclude that no further investigation of effects on terrestrial organisms is required, you should update your technical dossier by clearly stating the reasons for adapting the information requirement of Annex IX, Section 9.4.3. of the REACH Regulation.

ECHA emphasises that the intrinsic properties of soil microbial communities are not addressed through the EPM extrapolation method and therefore the potential adaptation possibility outlined for the information requirement of Annex IX, Section 9.4.3. does not apply for the present endpoint.



Appendix 2: Procedural history

ECHA received your registration containing the testing proposals for examination in accordance with Article 40(1) on 31 May 2018.

ECHA held a third party consultation for the testing proposals from 10 August 2018 until 24 September 2018. ECHA did not receive information from third parties.

This decision does not take into account any updates after **27 February 2019**, 30 calendar days after the end of the commenting period.

The decision making followed the procedure of Articles 50 and 51 of the REACH Regulation, as described below:

ECHA notified you of the draft decision and invited you to provide comments.

ECHA took into account your comments and did not amend the requests.

You updated your registration on 16 January 2019. ECHA took the information in the updated registration into account, and did not amend the draft decision.

ECHA notified the draft decision to the competent authorities of the Member States for proposals for amendment.

As no amendments were proposed, ECHA took the decision according to Article 51(3) of the REACH Regulation.



Appendix 3: Further information, observations and technical guidance

- 1. This decision does not imply that the information provided in your registration dossier is in compliance with the REACH requirements. The decision does not prevent ECHA from initiating a compliance check on the registration at a later stage.
- 2. Failure to comply with the requests in this decision will result in a notification to the enforcement authorities of the Member States.
- 3. In carrying out the tests required by the present decision, it is important to ensure that the particular sample of substance tested is appropriate to assess the properties of the registered substance, taking into account any variation in the composition of the technical grade of the substance as actually manufactured or imported. If the registration of the substance covers different grades, the sample used for the new tests must be suitable to assess these.

Furthermore, there must be adequate information on substance identity for the sample tested and the grades registered to enable the relevance of the tests to be assessed.