

Helsinki, 05 August 2022

#### **Addressees**

Registrants of JS\_926-63-6\_DMPA as listed in the last Appendix of this decision

Date of submission of the dossier subject to this decision 16/12/2020

# Registered substance subject to this decision ("the Substance")

Substance name: Dimethyl(propyl)amine

EC number: 213-139-9 CAS number: 926-63-6

Decision number: Please refer to the REACH-IT message which delivered this

communication (in format CCH-D-XXXXXXXXXXXXXXX/F)

#### **DECISION ON A COMPLIANCE CHECK**

Under Article 41 of Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 (REACH), you must submit the information listed below, by the deadline of **10 February 2025**.

Requested information must be generated using the Substance unless otherwise specified.

# A. Information required from all the Registrants subject to Annex VIII of REACH

1. Short-term toxicity testing on fish (Annex VIII, Section 9.1.3.; test method: OECD TG 203)

### B. Information required from all the Registrants subject to Annex IX of REACH

 Long-term toxicity testing on fish (Annex IX, Section 9.1.6.; test method: OECD TG 210)

Reasons for the request(s) are explained in the following appendices:

• Appendices entitled "Reasons to request information required under Annexes VIII to IX of REACH", respectively.

### Information required depends on your tonnage band

You must provide the information listed above for all REACH Annexes applicable to you, and in accordance with Articles 10(a) and 12(1) of REACH:

- the information specified in Annexes VII, VIII and IX to REACH, for registration at 100-1000 tpa;
- the information specified in Annexes VII to X to REACH, for registration at more than 1000 tpa.

You are only required to share the costs of information that you must submit to fulfil your information requirements.



## How to comply with your information requirements

To comply with your information requirements you must submit the information requested by this decision in an updated registration dossier by the deadline indicated above. You must also update the chemical safety report, where relevant, including any changes to classification and labelling, based on the newly generated information.

You must follow the general testing and reporting requirements provided under the Appendix entitled "Requirements to fulfil when conducting and reporting new tests for REACH purposes". For references used in this decision, please consult the Appendix entitled "List of references".

### **Appeal**

This decision, when adopted under Article 51 of REACH, may be appealed to the Board of Appeal of ECHA within three months of its notification to you. Please refer to <a href="http://echa.europa.eu/regulations/appeals">http://echa.europa.eu/regulations/appeals</a> for further information.

## Failure to comply

If you do not comply with the information required by this decision by the deadline indicated above, ECHA will notify the enforcement authorities of your Member State.

Authorised<sup>1</sup> under the authority of Mike Rasenberg, Director of Hazard Assessment

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> As this is an electronic document, it is not physically signed. This communication has been approved according to ECHA's internal decision-approval process.



## Appendix A: Reasons to request information required under Annex VIII of REACH

# 1. Short-term toxicity testing on fish

Short-term toxicity testing on fish is an information requirement under Annex VIII to REACH (Section 9.1.3.).

You have adapted this information requirement by using a Grouping of substances and readacross approach under Annex XI, Section 1.5. In support of your adaptation, you provided the following studies for this endpoint:

- i. a key study according to DIN 38412-15 on *Leuciscus idus* with N,N-dimethylethylamine EC No. 209-940-8 (CAS 598-56-1) ( , 1980).
- ii. an OECD 203 supporting study on *Danio rerio* with N,N-dimethyl-butylamine EC No. 213-156-1 (CAS 927-62-8) ( 1988).

We have assessed this information and identified the following issue(s):

Annex XI, Section 1.5. specifies two conditions which must be fulfilled whenever a read-across approach is used. Firstly, there needs to be structural similarity between substances which results in a likelihood that the substances have similar physicochemical, toxicological and ecotoxicological properties so that the substances may be considered as a group or category. Secondly, it is required that the relevant properties of a substance within the group may be predicted from data for reference substance(s) within the group.

Additional information on what is necessary when justifying a read-across approach can be found in the ECHA Guidance R.6 and related documents.

You have provided two read-across justification documents in IUCLID Section 6.1.4 and in Section 13.2.. The dates of these documents were Aug 2018 and November 2016 respectively and both documents were titled: "

You read-across between the structurally similar substances, N,N-dimethylethylamine EC No. 209-940-8 (CAS 598-56-1), N,N-dimethyl-butylamine EC No. 213-156-1 (CAS 927-62-8) and triethylamine EC No. 204-469-4 (CAS 121-44-8) as source substances and the Substance as target substance.

You have provided the following reasoning for the prediction of aquatic toxicity: "Toxicity is related to the length of the hydrophobic carbon chains: the longer (or greater the number of carbons) the chain the more toxic to aquatic organisms when the number of amines is constant; and the greater the number of amines, the greater the toxicity given a constant carbon chain length" and "Given the explanation above, stating that the toxicity of aliphatic amines grows with the number of carbons, it can be assumed that the toxicity to aquatic organisms of the target and the source substance are similar."

ECHA understands that you predict the properties of the Substance using a read-across hypothesis which assumes that different compounds have the same type of effects. The properties of your Substance are predicted to be quantitatively equal to those of the source substance.

ECHA notes the following shortcomings with regards to predictions of aquatic toxicity.

A. The identity of the test material used in the source study is unclear

#### Confidential



To comply with this information requirement, the test material in a study must be representative for the Substance (Article 10 and Recital 19 of REACH; ECHA Guidance R.4.1).

For the study (ii) no information on the composition of the test material and its impurities is provided.

In the absence of composition information on the test material, the identity of the test material and its impurities cannot be assessed and you have not demonstrated that the test material is representative for the substance that was intended to be tested. Therefore, the study (ii) provided is rejected.

# B. Adequacy and reliability of study on a source substance

To fulfil the information requirement, a study must comply with OECD TG 203 (Article 13(3) of REACH). Therefore, the following specifications must be met:

# Characterisation of exposure

a reliable analytical method for the quantification of the test material in the
test solutions with reported specificity, recovery efficiency, precision, limits of
determination (i.e. detection and quantification) and working range must be
available; in static tests, if the concentrations of the test materia are expected
to remain within ± 20 % of the nominal, then the test substance concentration
is determined (in one replicate) in the highest and lowest test concentrations,
and a concentration around the expected LC50 at the beginning and end of the
test.

For study (i), no analytical monitoring was performed and no concentration mass balance is reported. Therefore, the exposure concencentation to the organisms cannot be determined.

Therefore, study (i) does not meet the requirements of OECD TG 203.

In your comments on the draft decision you acknowedge the deficiencies identified above. You then declare your intention to adapt this standard information requirement under column 2, Section 9.1.3. by stating that "the study does not need to be conducted if a long-term aquatic toxicity study on fish is available". Furthermore, you state that "for reasons of animal welfare, no study on short-term toxicity testing on fish will be performed".

We have assessed this information and identified the following issue:

A registrant may only adapt this information requirement based on the specific rules for adaptation set out in Column 2 of Annex IX, Section 9.2.1.3 and 9.2. or the general rules for adaptation set out in Annex XI.

Under Section 9.1.3., Column 2, first indent, Annex VIII to REACH, the study may be omitted if aquatic toxicity is unlikely, for instance if the Substance is highly insoluble in water. Guidance on IRs and CSA, Section R.7.8.5 explains that there is no scientific basis to define a cut off limit for solubility below which toxicity is unlikely. Therefore, the justification must demonstrate very low water solubility and low likelihood to cross biological membranes.

In your comments you do not provide any valid adaptation under Section 9.1.3., Column 2 or the general rules of Annex XI and none of the arguments provided in your comments refers to adaptation possibilities under Section 9.1.3., Column 2 or the general rules of Annex XI.

## 5 (12)

#### Confidential



Furthermore, ECHA also notes that minimisation of vertebrate animal testing is not on its own a legal ground for adaptation under the general rules of Annex XI.

Therefore, the arguments provided in your comments are not appropriate to adapt the information requirement.

On this basis, the information requirement is not fulfilled.

#### Study design

The Substance is difficult to test due to the high volaltility (Vapour pressure = 172.5 hPa at 20°C, 1998). OECD TG 203 specifies that, for difficult to test substances, you must consider the approach described in OECD GD 23 or other approaches, if more appropriate for your substance. In all cases, the approach selected must be justified and documented. Due to the properties of Substance, it may be difficult to achieve and maintain the desired exposure concentrations. Therefore, you must monitor the test concentration(s) of the Substance throughout the exposure duration and report the results. If it is not possible to demonstrate the stability of exposure concentrations (i.e. measured concentration(s) not within 80-120% of the nominal concentration(s)), you must express the effect concentration based on measured values as described in OECD TG 203. In case a dose-response relationship cannot be established (no observed effects), you must demonstrate that the approach used to prepare test solutions was adequate to maximise the concentration of the Substance in the test solution and analytically quantifiable test chemical concentrations throughout the test were maintained.



# Appendix B: Reasons to request information required under Annex IX of REACH

# 1. Long-term toxicity testing on fish

Long-term toxicity testing on fish is an information requirement under Annex IX to REACH (Section 9.1.6.).

You have provided the following information:

- i. a justification to omit the study which you consider to be based on Annex IX, Section 9.1., Column 2. In support of your adaptation, you provided the following justification: "The hazard assessment of the substance reveals neither a need to classify the substance as dangerous to the environment, nor is it a PBT or vPvB substance, nor are there any further indications that the substance may be hazardous to the environment."
- ii. a justification to omit the study which you consider to be based on Annex XI, Section 3. In support of your adaptation, you provided the following justification: "In accordance with Annex XI Section 3, it can be demonstrated in the risk assessment that the manufacture and the use of the substance do not pose an unacceptable risk for all environmental compartments as the risk characterization ratios (RCRs) of the chemical safety assessment are below 1 for all compartments (see Chemical Safety Report Ch. 10). Therefore, and for reasons of animal welfare, a long-term toxicity test on fish is not provided.":

We have assessed this information and identified the following issues:

# A. Column 2 adaptation

Annex IX, Section 9.1., Column 2 does not allow omitting the need to submit information on long-term toxicity to fish under Column 1. It must be understood as a trigger for providing further information on long-term toxicity to fish if the chemical safety assessment according to Annex I indicates the need (Decision of the Board of Appeal in case A-011-2018).

Your adaptation is therefore rejected.

# B. Exposure-based adaptation

Under Annex XI, Section 3, this information may be omitted based on the exposure scenario(s) developed in the Chemical Safety Report. The justification must be based on a rigorous exposure assessment in accordance with Annex I, Section 5 and must meet the following criteria:

- (a) It can be demonstrated that all the following conditions are met:
- i. the absence or no significant exposure in all scenarios of the manufacture and all identified uses referred to in Annex VI, Section 3.5., and
- ii. a PNEC can be derived from available data, which amongst others:
  - must be relevant and appropriate both to the information requirement to be omitted and for risk assessment purposes and therefore must be based on reliable information on the hazardous properties of the substance on at least three trophic levels;
- iii. the ratio between the results of the exposure assessment (PECs) and the PNEC are always well below 1



# Confidential



For the reasons explained under request A1 and C.3., your dossier does not include reliable information on the hazardous properties of the substance on at least three trophic levels.

Therefore, you have not demonstrated that an appropriate PNEC can be derived and your adaptation is rejected.

On this basis, the information requirement is not fulfilled.

In the comments to the draft decision, you agree to perform the requested study.

# Study design

To fulfil the information requirement for the Substance, the Fish, Early-life Stage Toxicity Test (test method OECD TG 210) is the most appropriate (ECHA Guidance R.7.8.2.).

OECD TG 210 specifies that for difficult to test substances OECD GD 23 must be followed. As already explained above, the Substance is difficult to test. Therefore, you must fulfil the requirements described in 'Study design' under Appendix A.1.



# Appendix C: Requirements to fulfil when conducting and reporting new tests for REACH purposes

# A. Test methods, GLP requirements and reporting

- Under Article 13(3) of REACH, all new data generated as a result of this decision must be conducted according to the test methods laid down in a European Commission Regulation or to international test methods recognised by the Commission or ECHA as being appropriate.
- 2. Under Article 13(4) of REACH, ecotoxicological and toxicological tests and analyses must be carried out according to the GLP principles (Directive 2004/10/EC) or other international standards recognised by the Commission or ECHA.
- 3. Under Article 10(a)(vi) and (vii) of REACH, all new data generated as a result of this decision must be reported as study summaries, or as robust study summaries, if required under Annex I of REACH. See ECHA Practical Guide on How to report robust study summaries<sup>2</sup>.

#### **B. Test material**

Before generating new data, you must agree within the joint submission on the chemical composition of the material to be tested (Test Material) which must be relevant for all the registrants of the Substance.

Selection of the Test material(s)

The Test Material used to generate the new data must be selected taking into account the following:

- the variation in compositions reported by all members of the joint submission,
- the boundary composition(s) of the Substance,
- the impact of each constituent/ impurity on the test results for the endpoint to be assessed. For example, if a constituent/ impurity of the Substance is known to have an impact on (eco)toxicity, the selected Test Material must contain that constituent/ impurity.
- 2. Information on the Test Material needed in the updated dossier
  - You must report the composition of the Test Material selected for each study, under the "Test material information" section, for each respective endpoint study record in IUCLID.
  - The reported composition must include all constituents of each Test Material and their concentration values and other parameters relevant for the property to be tested.

This information is needed to assess whether the Test Material is relevant for the Substance and whether it is suitable for use by all members of the joint submission.

Technical instructions on how to report the above is available in the manual on How to prepare registration and PPORD dossiers<sup>3</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> https://echa.europa.eu/practical-guides

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> <a href="https://echa.europa.eu/manuals">https://echa.europa.eu/manuals</a>



### **Appendix D: Procedure**

This decision does not prevent ECHA from initiating further compliance checks at a later stage on the registrations present.

ECHA followed the procedure detailed in Articles 50 and 51 of REACH.

The compliance check was initiated on 18 November 2020.

ECHA notified you of the draft decision and invited you to provide comments.

ECHA took into account your comments and accepted your read-across adaptation for subchronic toxicity, Pre-natal developmental toxicity and long-term toxicity on aquatic invertebrates endpoints and removed the requests for a sub-chronic (90-day) study (OECD TG 413), PNDT study (OECD TG 414) in one species and Long-term toxicity testing on aquatic invertebrates (OECD TG 211). Based on the removed requests, ECHA shortened the deadline from 24 to 15 months.

ECHA notified the draft decision to the competent authorities of the Member States for proposals for amendment. No amendments were proposed.

Following tonnage downgrade by a registrant, the addressee list in Appendix F was updated.

ECHA adopted the decision under Article 51(3) of REACH.

The deadline of the decision is set based on standard practice for carrying out OECD TG tests. It has been exceptionally extended by 12 months from the standard deadline granted by ECHA to take into account currently longer lead times in contract research organisations.



# Appendix E: List of references - ECHA Guidance<sup>4</sup> and other supporting documents

### **Evaluation of available information**

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.4 (version 1.1., December 2011), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.4 where relevant.

### QSARs, read-across and grouping

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.6 (version 1.0, May 2008), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.6 where relevant.

Read-across assessment framework (RAAF, March 2017)<sup>5</sup>

RAAF - considerations on multiconstituent substances and UVCBs (RAAF UVCB, March 2017)<sup>6</sup>

# Physical-chemical properties

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.7a (version 6.0, July 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.7a in this decision.

#### **Toxicology**

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.7a (version 6.0, July 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.7a in this decision.

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.7c (version 3.0, June 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.7c in this decision.

# Environmental toxicology and fate

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.7a (version 6.0, July 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.7a in this decision.

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.7b (version 4.0, June 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.7b in this decision.

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.7c (version 3.0, June 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.7c in this decision.

# PBT assessment

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.11 (version 3.0, June 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.11 in this decision.

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.16 (version 3.0, February 2016), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.16 in this decision.

# Data sharing

Guidance on data-sharing (version 3.1, January 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance on data sharing in this decision.

#### OECD Guidance documents<sup>7</sup>

<sup>4 &</sup>lt;a href="https://echa.europa.eu/guidance-documents/guidance-on-information-requirements-and-chemical-safety-assessment">https://echa.europa.eu/guidance-documents/guidance-on-information-requirements-and-chemical-safety-assessment</a>

https://echa.europa.eu/support/registration/how-to-avoid-unnecessary-testing-on-animals/grouping-of-substances-and-read-across

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/13630/raaf\_uvcb\_report\_en.pdf/3f79684d-07a5-e439-16c3-d2c8da96a316

http://www.oecd.org/chemicalsafety/testing/series-testing-assessment-publications-number.htm







Guidance Document on aqueous–phase aquatic toxicity testing of difficult test chemicals – No 23, referred to as OECD GD 23.

Guidance document on transformation/dissolution of metals and metal compounds in aqueous media – No 29, referred to as OECD GD 29.

Guidance Document on Standardised Test Guidelines for Evaluating Chemicals for Endocrine Disruption – No 150, referred to as OECD GD 150.

Guidance Document supporting OECD test guideline 443 on the extended one-generation reproductive toxicity test – No 151, referred to as OECD GD 151.



# Appendix F: Addressees of this decision and their corresponding information requirements

You must provide the information requested in this decision for all REACH Annexes applicable to you.

Registrant Name	Registration number	Highest REACH Annex applicable to you

Where applicable, the name of a third party representative (TPR) may be displayed in the list of recipients whereas ECHA will send the decision to the actual registrant.