

Helsinki, 12 April 2019

Addressee:

Decision number: TPE-D-2114466082-53-01/F

Substance name: Fatty acids, C18-unsatd, dimers, polymers with tall-oil fatty acids and

triethylenetetramine EC number: 500-191-5 CAS number: 68082-29-1

Registration number: Submission number:

Submission date: 19/09/2018

Registered tonnage band: Over 1000

DECISION ON A TESTING PROPOSAL

Based on Article 40 of Regulation ((EC) No 1907/2006) (the REACH Regulation), ECHA examined your testing proposal(s) and decided as follows.

Your testing proposals are accepted and you are requested to carry out:

- 1. Sub-chronic toxicity study (90-day), oral route (Annex IX, Section 8.6.2.; test method: OECD TG 408) in rats using the registered substance.
- 2. Pre-natal developmental toxicity study (Annex IX, Section 8.7.2.; test method: OECD TG 414) in a first species (rat or rabbit), oral route using the registered substance.

You are requested to perform as additional test:

3. Pre-natal developmental toxicity study (Annex X, Section 8.7.2.; test method: OECD TG 414) in a second species (rabbit or rat), oral route using the registered substance.

You may adapt the testing requested above according to the specific rules outlined in Annexes VI to X and/or according to the general rules contained in Annex XI to the REACH Regulation. To ensure compliance with the respective information requirement, any such adaptation will need to have a scientific justification, referring and conforming to the appropriate rules in the respective annex, and an adequate and reliable documentation.

You have to submit the requested information in an updated registration dossier by **19 April 2021**. You also have to update the chemical safety report, where relevant. The timeline has been set to allow for sequential testing.

The reasons for this decision are set out in Appendix 1. The procedural history is described in Appendix 2 and advice and further observations are provided in Appendix 3.



Appeal

This decision can be appealed to the Board of Appeal of ECHA within three months of its notification. An appeal, together with the grounds thereof, has to be submitted to ECHA in writing. An appeal has suspensive effect and is subject to a fee. Further details are described under: http://echa.europa.eu/regulations/appeals.

Authorised¹ by Ofelia Bercaru, Head of Unit, Hazard Assessment

 $^{^1}$ As this is an electronic document, it is not physically signed. This communication has been approved according to ECHA's internal decision-approval process.



Appendix 1: Reasons

The decision of ECHA is based on the examination of the testing proposals submitted by you.

1. Sub-chronic toxicity study (90-day) (Annex IX, Section 8.6.2.)

Pursuant to Article 40(3)(a) of the REACH Regulation, ECHA may require the Registrant to carry out the proposed test.

A sub-chronic toxicity study (90 day) is a standard information requirement as laid down in Annex IX, Section 8.6.2. of the REACH Regulation. The information on this endpoint is not available for the registered substance but needs to be present in the technical dossier to meet the information requirements. Consequently there is an information gap and it is necessary to provide information for this endpoint.

You have submitted a testing proposal for a sub-chronic toxicity study (90 day) by the oral route according to OECD TG 408 with the registered substance.

ECHA requested your considerations for alternative methods to fulfil the information requirement for Sub-chronic toxicity (90-day): oral. ECHA notes that you provided your considerations concluding that there were no alternative methods which could be used to adapt the information requirement(s) for which testing is proposed. ECHA has taken these considerations into account.

You proposed testing by the oral route. Based on the information provided in the technical dossier and/or in the chemical safety report, ECHA agrees that the oral route - which is the preferred one as indicated in ECHA *Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment* (version 6.0, July 2017) Chapter R.7a, Section R.7.5.4.3 - is the most appropriate route of administration. More specifically, even though the information indicates that human exposure to the registered substance by the inhalation route is likely, the exposure concentrations reported in the chemical safety report for the inhalation route is low (maximum mg/m³).

Hence, the test shall be performed by the oral route using the test method OECD TG 408.

Therefore, ECHA considers that the proposed study performed by the oral route with the registered substance is appropriate to fulfil the information requirement of Annex IX, Section 8.6.2. of the REACH Regulation.

You did not specify the species to be used for testing. According to the test method OECD TG 408 the rat is the preferred species. ECHA considers this species as being appropriate and testing should be performed with the rat.

In your comments to the draft decision, you agreed to perform the requested test.

Therefore, pursuant to Article 40(3)(a) of the REACH Regulation, you are requested to carry out the proposed study with the registered substance subject to the present decision: Subchronic toxicity study (90-day) in rats, oral route (test method: OECD TG 408).

2. Pre-natal developmental toxicity study (Annex IX, Section 8.7.2.) in a first species

CONFIDENTIAL 4 (7)



Pursuant to Article 40(3)(a) of the REACH Regulation, ECHA may require the Registrant to carry out the proposed test.

A pre-natal developmental toxicity study for a first species is a standard information requirement as laid down in Annex IX, Section 8.7.2. of the REACH Regulation. The information on this endpoint is not available for the registered substance but needs to be present in the technical dossier to meet the information requirements. Consequently there is an information gap and it is necessary to provide information for this endpoint.

You have submitted a testing proposal for a pre-natal developmental toxicity study according to OECD TG 414.

ECHA requested your considerations for alternative methods to fulfil the information requirement for Reproductive toxicity (pre-natal developmental toxicity). ECHA notes that you provided your considerations concluding that there were no alternative methods which could be used to adapt the information requirement(s) for which testing is proposed. ECHA has taken these considerations into account.

ECHA considers that the proposed study performed with the registered substance is appropriate to fulfil the information requirement of Annex IX, Section 8.7.2. of the REACH Regulation.

You did not specify the species to be used for testing. According to the test method OECD TG 414, the rat is the preferred rodent species and the rabbit the preferred non-rodent. On the basis of this default consideration, ECHA considers testing should be performed with rats or rabbits as a first species.

You did not specify the route for testing. ECHA considers that the oral route is the most appropriate route of administration for substances except gases to focus on the detection of hazardous properties on reproduction as indicated in ECHA *Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment* (version 6.0, July 2017) Chapter R.7a, Section R.7.6.2.3.2. Since the substance to be tested is a liquid with a very low vapour pressure, ECHA concludes that testing should be performed by the oral route.

In your comments to the draft decision, you agreed to perform the requested test.

Therefore, pursuant to Article 40(3)(a) of the REACH Regulation, you are requested to carry out the proposed study with the registered substance subject to the present decision: Prenatal developmental toxicity study in a first species (rat or rabbit), oral route (test method: OECD TG 414).

Notes for your consideration

For the selection of the appropriate species you are advised to consult ECHA *Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment* (version 6.0, July 2017), Chapter R.7a, Section R.7.6.2.3.2.

3. Pre-natal developmental toxicity study (Annex X, Section 8.7.2.) in a second species

Pursuant to Article 40(3)(c) of the REACH Regulation, ECHA may require the Registrant to carry out one or more additional tests in case of non-compliance of the testing proposal with Annexes IX, X or XI of the REACH Regulation.

CONFIDENTIAL 5 (7)



Pre-natal developmental toxicity studies on two species are part of the standard information requirements for substance registered for 1000 tonnes or more per year (Annex IX, Section 8.7.2., column 1, Annex X, Section 8.7.2., column 1, and sentence 2 of introductory paragraph 2 of Annex X of the REACH Regulation).

As outlined above under 2. ECHA has approved your testing proposal for a pre-natal developmental toxicity study in a first species according to OECD TG 414. ECHA notes that you registered your substance for 1000 tonnes or more per year and that your technical dossier does not contain information on a pre-natal developmental toxicity study in a second species (Annex X, Section 8.7.2.). Consequently there is an information gap and it is necessary to provide information for this endpoint.

According to the test method OECD TG 414, the rat is the preferred rodent species and the rabbit the preferred non-rodent species. On the basis of this default consideration, ECHA considers testing should be performed with the rabbit or the rat as a second species, depending on the species tested in the first pre-natal developmental toxicity study.

ECHA considers that the oral route is the most appropriate route of administration for substances except gases to focus on the detection of hazardous properties on reproduction as indicated in ECHA *Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment* (version 6.0, July 2017) Chapter R.7a, Section R.7.6.2.3.2. Since the substance to be tested is a liquid with a very low vapour pressure, ECHA concludes that testing should be performed by the oral route.

In your comments to the draft decision, you agreed to perform the requested test. You also noted that you may adapt this standard information requirement based on the newly generated data and all other available information, as explained under Notes for consideration below.

Therefore, pursuant to Article 40(3)(c) of the REACH Regulation, you are thus requested to carry out the additional study with the registered substance subject to the present decision: Pre-natal developmental toxicity study in a second species (rabbit or rat), oral route (test method: OECD TG 414).

Notes for your consideration

Before performing a pre-natal developmental toxicity study in a second species you should consider the specific adaptation possibilities of Annex X, Section 8.7.2., column 2 and general adaptation possibilities of Annex XI. If the results of the test in the first species or any other new information enable such adaptation, testing in the second species should be omitted and the registration dossier should be updated containing the corresponding adaptation statement and underlying scientific justification.

For the selection of the appropriate species you are advised to consult ECHA *Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment* (version 6.0, July 2017), Chapter R.7a, Section R.7.6.2.3.2.

CONFIDENTIAL 6 (7)



Appendix 2: Procedural history

ECHA received your registration containing the testing proposals for examination in accordance with Article 40(1) on 14 May 2013.

ECHA held a third party consultation for the testing proposals from 04 April 2014 until 19 May 2014 and from 28 February 2018 until 16 April 2018. ECHA did not receive information from third parties.

This decision does not take into account any updates after **19 September 2018**, 30 calendar days after the end of the commenting period.

You updated your registration on 19 September 2018. ECHA took the information in the updated registration into account, and did not amend the draft decision. The updated information is reflected in the Reasons (Appendix 1).

The decision making followed the procedure of Articles 50 and 51 of the REACH Regulation, as described below:

ECHA notified you of the draft decision and invited you to provide comments.

In your comments you agreed to the draft decision. ECHA took your comments into account and did not amend the request(s).

ECHA notified the draft decision to the competent authorities of the Member States for proposals for amendment.

As no amendments were proposed, ECHA took the decision according to Article 51(3) of the REACH Regulation.

CONFIDENTIAL 7 (7)



Appendix 3: Further information, observations and technical guidance

- 1. This decision does not imply that the information provided in your registration dossier is in compliance with the REACH requirements. The decision does not prevent ECHA from initiating a compliance check on the registration at a later stage.
- 2. Failure to comply with the requests in this decision, or to otherwise fulfil the information requirements with a valid and documented adaptation, will result in a notification to the enforcement authorities of the Member States.
- 3. In relation to the information required by the present decision, the sample of the substance used for the new tests must be suitable for use by all the joint registrants. Hence, the sample should have a composition that is suitable to fulfil the information requirement for the range of substance compositions manufactured or imported by the joint registrants.

It is the responsibility of all joint registrants who manufacture or import the same substance to agree on the appropriate composition of the test material and to document the necessary information on their substance composition. In addition, it is important to ensure that the particular sample of the substance tested in the new tests is appropriate to assess the properties of the registered substance, taking into account any variation in the composition of the technical grade of the substance as actually manufactured or imported by each registrant.

If the registration of the substance by any registrant covers different grades, the sample used for the new tests must be suitable to assess these grades. Finally there must be adequate information on substance identity for the sample tested and the grades registered to enable the relevance of the tests to be assessed.

